

National Programme Document Revision Table

Country: PHILIPPINES

Comment (s)	Source (Independent technical review, Secretariat review, Policy Board comment)	Addressed in (Page No. and brief description)
Support for the Initial National Programme, but recording that this support in no way implies any guarantee for receiving funding for a full National Programme in future.	Policy Board	Noted
Include a better explanation to law enforcement as a driver of deforestation and degradation	Policy Board	Page 4. Situational analysis Lack of human resources and logistical support for protecting vast tracts of forest lands are also factors that contribute to forest depletion. A Multi-Sectoral Forest Protection Committee was institutionalized in 1995 to support forest law enforcement and governance but the number of MFPCs have been declining and interests waned due to lack of support.
Measures to address weak law enforcement like including capacity building for law enforcement agencies.		Page 17. Output 1.4 (National REDD+ capacity programme developed) Conduct of capacity building for law enforcement agencies through trainings on forest administration, forestry laws and legal procedures as well as paralegal trainings.
Include a monitoring framework for social and governance safeguards.	Policy Board	Page 20. One of the activities under 2.1







Draw from examples to use low technology monitoring tools used before in the country.	Policy Board	Page 17. Output 2.1 Approach on REDD+ social and environmental safeguards developed. Existing monitoring tools will be reviewed to determine their applicability and effectiveness and utilize these in the development of a monitoring framework.
To mention and clarify the process of FPIC in the document.	Policy Board	Pages 6-7. Situation Analysis/Forest Management Regime Legal safeguard such the Free and Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) was asserted in the IPRA Law to ensure participation of the IPs in decision-making as well as to protect their rights in the introduction and implementation of different undertakings that will have an impact in their ancestral domains.
Mapping of existing initiatives should be made part of the outcome 1 and include donors, NGOs and other partners.	Policy Board	Discussed in page 8 (Initiatives of development partners related to REDD+ readiness) Page 16. Results Framework/Outcome 1 Outcome 1 also builds on the initiatives of development partners such as GTZ, ADB, JICA, AusAID, UN and ICRAF that are relevant in the development of national REDD+ readiness.
Inclusion of the civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation in the validation as per UN-REDD operational guidelines	Secretariat review	The civil society and Indigenous Peoples were close partners of the government in the preparation of the Philippine National REDD Plus Strategy. The civil society was consulted in the preparation of this Initial National Programme proposal and together with the Indigenous Peoples, they will be included in the implementation of the programme
Inclusion of National Government Counterpart (or designate)	Secretariat review	The validation did include the national government counterpart which is the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), in particular the Forestry Management Bureau and the Protected Areas and Wildlife Bureau.
The National Programme Document had a miscalculation on the indirect costs	Secretariat review	Page 21 - Already addressed in the Revised Project Document

Review Issues (I) Ownership of the NJP by government and nongovernment stakeholders.	Secretariat review	Should be changed to the <i>Department of</i> Environment and Natural Resources	
The Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Meteorology is the Government agency leading the proposed iNPD.			

B) Comments relevant to the inception and implementation phase*

Comment (s)	Source (Independent technical review, Secretariat review, Policy Board comment)	Means of addressing in (Page No. and brief description)

^{*}As per the recommendation of the UN-REDD Programme Policy Board comments from the Secretariat, independent technical review, and Policy Board will be presented in two categories a) comments to be resolved in the document (revision and elaboration phase); and b) comments relevant to the inception and implementation phase.