

# 3b. Definition of Readiness: Update on Readiness Package and FCPF/UN-REDD Collaboration

Joint Meeting between the UN-REDD Policy Board and FCPF Participants Committee

Asunción, Paraguay March 27, 2012











### Readiness Package (R-Package): Context

- FCPF is a pilot program to promote REDD+ readiness
  - Provide funding and assistance to countries for initial REDD+ Readiness preparation
  - Support learning and capacity-building in a country-led process
- R-Package is a milestone of Readiness preparation
  - Formulation Phase: R-PIN, R-PP
  - Preparation Phase: R-Package
  - R-Package is the transition from Readiness preparation into implementing REDD+
  - PC discussion urges R-Package be broadly useful for other REDD+ funding (FCPF Carbon Fund, bilateral, multilateral or private sources)

## R-Package is produced towards the end of 'phase 1'

3 REDD+ **Readiness Phases** 2 1 (per Cancun Implementation Results-Based **National Agreements**) of Strategies **Activities** (fully Strategies and MRV'ed **Investments** emission reductions) **R-Package** Capacity Building, institution strengthening, piloting, investments **FCPF FCPF Readiness Fund Carbon Fund** 

#### **R-Package: General Purpose**

- R-Package will provide confidence to national and international actors that
  - The country is making progress on REDD+
  - Activities are tested within a transparent framework, and are consistent with COP decisions
  - Social and environmental risks are identified and mitigated
- FCPF Charter states
  - R-Package submission is voluntary
    - REDD Country Participants may request PC endorsement
    - Technical Advisory Panel makes recommendations to the PC
  - PC 'Endorsement' is required before signing a Emissions Reduction Payment Agreement (ERPA) with FCPF Carbon Fund



### How to assess Readiness: an ongoing discussion in the FCPF

- The practice of Readiness Preparation shows that
  - Countries receive Readiness support from a variety of sources (FCPF, UN-REDD and other bilateral or multilateral development partners)
  - Countries have different pre-existing capacities and make progress at a different pace
  - FCPF is piloting Readiness and R-PPs are more detailed and focused on implementation compared to the Readiness framework under UNFCCC
- The challenge: finding a way to assess a rich set of activities during Readiness preparation that
  - Allows flexibility for countries
  - Assures quality for countries, donors and civil society



### **Readiness Package Concept**

- PC10: FMT was asked to draft a R-Package Concept Note
  - Define Purpose, Scope, and Content
  - Develop Draft Assessment Framework
- Feedback on Concept (FMT Note 2011-4)
  - Limited response from REDD+ Countries
    - How can REDD+ countries increase ownership in the process?
  - Multiple and often diverging views on key aspects
    - Purpose
    - Endorsement
    - Assessment



# R-Package Content is based on R-PP template shared by FCPF and UN-REDD

#### 1. Readiness Organization and Consultation

- a. National REDD management arrangements
- b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach

#### 2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation

- a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance
- b. REDD Strategy Options
- c. Implementation Framework
- d. Social and Environmental Impacts

#### 3. Reference Levels

#### 4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards

- a. National Forest Monitoring System
- b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards



# R-Package Concept Note: Proposed Draft Assessment Framework

# Assessment Framework based on a Standards approach

- Similar to assessment of R-PPs
- Review by Technical Advisory Panel
- Standards provide benchmarks to assess progress
- Guides REDD countries in Readiness preparation

### Overarching principles that cut across all standards

- Participatory approach is applied
- Capacity building is promoted
- Action plans are budgeted
- REDD+ actions are monitored and evaluated
- Common Approach to Safeguards is applied to overarching/cross-cutting issues
- Consistency with UNFCCC



# **Key Points of General Agreement among FCPF Countries, Donors and Observers**

- R-Package is an appropriate organizing framework for Readiness activities, piloting and investments
  - Requires consistency with COP decisions
  - Building on R-PP provides continuity and consistency
- R-Package should encompass Readiness overall
  - Includes FCPF, UN-REDD activities, and support from other development partners
  - Consistency and synergy with UN-REDD is important
  - R-Package is a single document drawing on readiness work output
- Assessment should occur at national level (selfassessment) and internationally (TAP, PC)
  - Demonstrate a country's commitment to REDD+ and consistency with the international framework
  - Feedback to countries is important to identify gaps and provide guidance



# **UN-REDD Views on the R-package**

- Welcomed the development of the R-package as a tool that can support countries progressing towards achieving REDD readiness
- Important to differentiate the R-package from the each initiative's monitoring framework
- Draw on lessons learnt from Readiness preparation todate, in particular in relation to specific R-package subcomponents and components
- Need to further explore the conceptual linkages to the country needs assessment



# Diverging and Multiple Views on the Role, Purpose, Assessment and Review Process

#### Feedback from Participants and Observers raises key questions:

- 1. Is the R-Package a 'reporting requirement' or an opportunity to show progress and attract funding?
- 2. Should the R-Package be more closely linked to the Carbon Fund? Or a comprehensive assessment of national Readiness progress?
- 3. Is the assessment primarily a opportunity to provide constructive feedback? Or an assessment against standards for an international audience?
  - National self-assessment with focus on progress?
  - What is a meaningful multi-stakeholder assessment?
  - What is the appropriate level of detail for the assessment?
  - How can the assessment approach be made operational and most useful?
- 4. What are the outcomes of the assessment? And who performs the assessment given the comprehensive scope of the R-Package?
  - What role does the TAP play?
  - What role might the UN-REDD Policy Board have in the assessment process?



## Thank You!

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org

