



SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE WITH DEVELOPING A REDD+ STRATEGY (RPP)

Rene Somopawiro

Paramaribo, January 27th, 2010

SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE: THE FIRST STEPS

× Development of the R-PIN (2008)

- + Commissioned by the President of Republic of Suriname
- + R-Pin accepted in March 2009 by the World Bank

× Experience

- + Capacity building and awareness were needed to understand REDD(+) for all stakeholders incl. Government
- + Based on policy documents and researchs : National Forest Policy 2003, National Biodiversity Strategy 2005, Multi Annual Development Plan etc.
- + Lack of as well as scattered data
- + Although no budget was allocated, the government was dedicated to develop the R-PIN with a group of dedicated experts
- + Greater involvement of all relevant stakeholders were needed in particular forest based communities

SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE: 1ST DRAFT RPP

- ✘ Suriname initiated a series of presentations to relevant stakeholders regarding REDD+ and UNFCCC
- ✘ Various training were conducted in collaboration with NGO's
- ✘ Formal establishment of the National REDD+ Committee, comprises of relevant government institutions
- ✘ Development of the RPP
 - + Submission of the 1st draft in August 25th, 2009

SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE: 1ST DRAFT RPP

× Experience:

- + Considerable interest and preparedness to cooperate and to commit
- + Support from NGO's
- + Feedback from relevant stakeholders
 - × Many discussions about the outcome of the RPP, REDD +
- + Lack of data; scattered data
- + Small budget to conduct comprehensive stakeholder meetings, including in the rural and hinterland areas for the formulation of the RPP
 - × Cooperation with the representative organization of the tribal communities in the city among others to establish the **consultation and outreach plan**
 - × Support from NGO's to formulate consultation & outreach plan
- + Comprehensive implementation of RPP and great impact on society and economy

SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE: 2ND DRAFT RPP

- ✘ Development of the 2nd draft RPP
 - + Submission of the 2nd draft in January 11th, 2010

- ✘ Experience:
 - + In general valuable and helpful comments and recommendations from the TAP, however sometimes inconsistent
 - + Should taken into account by TAP the national circumstances. “No one sizes fits all” solution
 - + No clarity which activities must be carried out during the formulation of the RPP and which ones during the implementation of the RPP
 - + Generous time and budget needed, Increased budget for the formulation of RPP
 - + Clarity of FPIC and SESA procedures needed
 - + Up till now, funding from GOS

SURINAME'S EXPERIENCE: 2ND DRAFT RPP

× Continued experience:

- + Increased awareness of REDD+ within the government and other stakeholders
- + Launching of the “Suriname Green Vision”
 - × REDD+ will be integrated in the “Suriname Green Development Strategy “
- + Greater participation at the COP meeting in Copenhagen 2009
- + REDD+ strategy will be integrated in the national policies

CONSIDERATIONS

- ✘ Commitment of Suriname at highest level
- ✘ Learning process for Suriname
- ✘ Specific country's circumstances
- ✘ Suriname (HFLD country) is at the early stage of the Forest transition curve.
 - + REDD+ should support Suriname's early bridging in the curve.
- ✘ The UNFCCC negotiations and the options for incentives to maintain and to enhance carbon stocks and or to avoid emissions

CONSIDERATIONS

- ✘ RPP no solutions for land tenure related issues
- ✘ Formulation of procedures for FPIC and SESA should in cooperation with all stakeholders
- ✘ The availability to implement RPP and beyond are not clear yet
- ✘ How to proceed and incorporate the Copenhagen Accord

WORD OF THANKS

- + The REDD+ Committee coordinated by the Ministry of Physical Planning, Land and Forest Management
- + All stakeholders
- + CI, WWF and TBI
- + World Bank Staff, especially those involved with the FCPE, The TAP members and the PC members for their work, support and advice.

Thank you