

Work area 5 (Now work area 3)

| REDD+ Payments | Response |
|---|--|
| <p>REDD+ funding instruments at the national level need to be positioned in relation to other climate change funding instruments. Successes from other areas, e.g. Green or Environment Funds, should also be assessed and used as a starting point. It will be important to design REDD+ instruments in a broader climate change funding context and not develop REDD+-centric instruments.</p> | <p>Agreed. This is now reflected in the text of section 3.1, with no budget modification.</p> |
| <p>The question of fiduciary standards needs to be addressed. UNFCCC has mandated the use of international fiduciary standards. The question is which standard should be used for REDD+?</p> | <p>Agreed. This is the intention under output 3.1 and has now been clarified in the text, with no budget modification.</p> |
| <p>The Programme should promote the creation and the enforcement of legislation to punish corruption practices, otherwise this unwanted behavior may be diminishing REDD+ results.</p> | <p>Agreed. Section 3.3.6 now better reflects how the programme will provide this targeted support upon request, with no budget modification.</p> |
| <p>Measures and control mechanisms need to be developed to avoid corruption. In this regard, political economy and institutional economy analysis may be useful and powerful tools that can provide significant insight to understand local dynamics, actors, roles, power relations, local norms and institutions functioning. If corruption is to be addressed, it is vital to understand local dynamics to design effective policies aiming to combat it. This relevant issue should be included and more developed in the document.</p> | <p>Agreed. Output 3.3 now better reflects this targeted activity, and budget has been consequently increased.</p> |
| <p>Delete under Outcome 3. Develop synergies with existing transaction systems in the agriculture and other sectors to ensure low transaction costs for REDD+ payments.</p> | <p>The activity was revised. The scope is to inform countries on payments on the land base sector to create synergies with REDD+.</p> |
| <p>Delete 3.2.2. Materials on carbon rights allocation and rules.</p> | <p>We agree that this activity is misleading. It has been removed and the budget revised accordingly.</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| Delete 3.4.1. Guidance for measuring poverty and social impacts. | Thank you for the comment. We agree that it may not be possible to apply the Poverty Social Impact Analysis approach as originally intended and such an approach would be duplicative of what is planned under the social principles work included in work area 5 (multiple benefits). This activity has been removed and the budget revised accordingly. |
| Should be clearly linked, or even integrated, in Work Area 2. | The links have made been clearer in outcomes on governance and REDD+ payments. |
| Restructure and focus on: - Improving coordination of funding from different sources at national level (to be linked with good governance). - Ensuring benefit sharing through transparent and equitable benefit distribution(in this regard, the carbon rights allocation can be postponed until phase 3 is operative). - Minimizing or eliminating (if possible) corruption risks. | Coordination of funding will be provided as part of policy and technical advice to national programmes, which has been made clearer in the text under output 3.1. The support to benefit distribution system will make sure that it is adapted to the readiness phases, which has been clarified under output 3.2. |
| Participation of women also as a cross-cutting issue to be included throughout the Programme. | Agreed. As gender guidelines will be integrated into different operational guidelines of the UN-REDD Programme (described in output 3.5), this work will be increasingly cross-cutting. We will be happy to circulate a "gender framework" that shows how this is operationalized. |
| Output 5 deals with fiscal transparency. This section should, however, be linked to the UN-REDD / Chatham House guidance on governance monitoring, which includes provisions for monitoring of REDD+ finance. | This link has now been made clearer in section 3.1. |

|