

**Management Group (MG) of the UN-REDD Programme**  
**Decisions of meeting of 29 Jan 2014**  
**Draft**

**Participants:**

MG members and alternates

FAO: Mette Loyche Wilkie, Adam Gerrand

UNDP: Charles McNeill

UNEP: Tim Christophersen,

Secretariat: Mario Boccucci, Thais Linhares Juvenal

Apologies: Edoardo Zandri Tim Clairs, Mirey Atallah

Others

Sharon McAuslan, Avishan Chanani

**1. Approval of minutes from previous week (22 Jan)**

- a. Approved.

**2. Update and next steps on the SG Climate Summit**

- a. The MG welcomed the update provided by UNDP on the planning of and opportunities related to the SGs Climate Summit, including the meeting taking place in Washington DC later on the 29<sup>th</sup>, facilitated by Meridian Institute and attended by Norway, the World Bank, the UN-REDD Programme and Climate Advisers. The aim of the meeting is to assess the emerging commitments and see whether they are realistic, as well as to gauge the likelihood of whether some sort of announcement on greater alignment of the multilaterals is possible. (see meeting papers circulated by Charles).
- b. The MG recognized that Summit represents a potential opportunity for the UN REDD Programme and agreed that there should be active engagement in order to further explore the opportunities for outcomes in line with the emerging development of the Programme beyond 2015. Whilst the risks (resource demands etc.) of involvement were acknowledged, the potential benefits were considered to outweigh these (e.g. potential to increase donor base), along with the opportunity to provide another example of the UN-REDD Programmes convening capacity.
- c. Feedback was provided on a number of issues:
  - i. Of the list of potential deliverables, the MG suggested that before these are further edited, it is important to check all suggestions made by the Agencies are included, to undertake an exercise to see which have the greatest relative potential (including for carbon reduction), and to ensure that going forward Agencies are involved with the relevant deliverables under the various themes;
  - ii. The potential deliverable on no deforestation jurisdictions was considered to have relatively high potential, especially if it was broadened to cover all efforts that contribute to reducing emissions;
  - iii. The potential of the deliverable on ending deforestation in supply chains is perhaps overstated;
  - iv. Any announcement/indication (e.g. even as a footnote) at the Climate Summit from the World Bank and UN system for greater alignment of the multilateral organizations to support countries in delivering REDD+ at scale would be an achievement, and should remain an objective for the Programme, even if not priority for others;

*Actions:*

- *To circulate materials for 29/1 W-DC meeting (Charles – done)*
- *To provide latest set of potential deliverables (or confirm which version of the docs circulated is latest version) (Charles)*
- *To check all the potential deliverables suggested are included in the latest draft papers and assess relative potential (Charles)*
- *Arrange letter from Helen Clarke to FAO regarding collaboration (Charles)*

- *To send update following W-DC meeting and briefing note articulating revised outcomes, during week beginning 3 Feb (Charles/Mario)*
- *To seek feedback from Achim (TC2).*
- *MG to revisit at MG retreat (or MG call on 5 Feb).*

**3. Safeguards website issue: Item postponed, to be dealt with by Safeguards group or future MG call**

**4. Quick readout from NPWG meeting: Item postponed, to be dealt with by email or at next call/retreat**

**5. AOB**

- a. Update on the PB11 report: A further response addressing additional issues raised by PNG (none considered to be valid) is being prepared, with the intention that this will be sent to PNG from Salisu and Magdy (as Agency Co-Chair in Veele's absence).

**6. Next meeting (5 Feb)**