

Group 4

# How can guidelines being translated into national actions?

Level	Enabling Conditions	Enabling Actions
<b>National</b>	Open-mindedness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Comparative Study Approach to look at positive and negative lessons from both international examples and national examples; (National Examples prove to be more compelling)</li> <li>- Work through regional networks/organizations</li> <li>- Educating national offices of inter-governmental organizations e.g. UNREDD to push agenda and capture opportunities. (e.g. In Africa context, elections &amp; policy reviews)</li> </ul>
<b>Between National and Local</b>	Institutional Capacity	
<b>Local</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Empowered</li> <li>- Awared</li> <li>- Tools (e.g. Community Protocol)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Work through regional CSOs</li> </ul>
<b>Cross-cutting</b>	Monitoring (Indicators)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Media – Interactive web-based platform</li> </ul>

Level	Enabling Conditions	Enabling Actions
<b>International</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- PB approved and broadly supported FPIC Guidelines</li> <li>- Donor Explicit Support;</li> <li>- Wide endorsement of the guidelines beyond UNREDD: e.g. UNPFII, UNFF, Global Compact; other donor/organizations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- to publicize experiences with implementing FPIC: e.g. Viet Nam</li> <li>- <b>International sensitization workshop with stakeholders</b></li> <li>- Visual vigilance and learning platform (e.g. REDD desk)</li> <li>- <b>Certified "REDD+" Information</b></li> </ul>
<b>Reginal</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Wide endorsement of guidelines by regional networks: COMEFAC/SAADC/COMESA/ASEAN</b></li> <li>- <b>Empower IP regional networks: IPACC/AIPP/COICA/ABN</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Regional sensitization workshop with government and other stakeholders</b></li> </ul>
<b>National</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Open-minded senior policy makers</b></li> <li>- Gov-IPO/CSO partnership</li> <li>- Legal/policy recognition of rights</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>National Action Plans</b></li> <li>- <b>Comparative Case Study Approach</b></li> <li>- <b>Work through regional networks/organizations</b></li> <li>- <b>Educating national offices of inter-governmental organizations e.g. UNREDD to push agenda and capture opportunities (e.g. In Africa context, elections &amp; policy reviews)</b></li> </ul>
<b>Sub-National (Link to Resource Mechanism)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Informed and empowered <b>Institution</b> (Mainstream FPIC in planning activities)</li> <li>- <b>Active media</b> promoting FPIC and monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Pilot approach</li> <li>- <b>Media training</b></li> <li>- Awareness training in local government e.g. Vietnam</li> <li>- A network of training of trainers</li> <li>- Monitoring of capacity building activities: use of some current existing tools to access government capacity(e.g. social accountability tool; survey; benchmarking)</li> </ul>
<b>Local</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Empowered</b></li> <li>- <b>Informed</b></li> <li>- <b>Tools (e.g. Community Protocol)</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support to local IPOs/ CSOs</li> <li>- Link to community development</li> <li>- Education, Information and Communication: e.g. Improvement of school curriculum</li> </ul>
<b>Cross-cutting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Monitoring (Indicators)</li> <li>- Gender</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Gender element highlighted in actions</li> </ul>