

REDD+ Readiness Framework Workshop

Provisional Agenda for Tuesday, 4 May 2010

Meeting Objectives

- To explore shared interests and issues related to developing a common framework for information on REDD+ activities from project to national scales.
- To understand different rationales for and methodological approaches to collecting, assessing and conveying Readiness information

Provisional Agenda

- 9:00 Agenda and Objectives Review, Participant Introductions
Kathleen Rutherford, Meridian Institute
- 9:15 Welcome & Context for the Workshop
Charlie Parker and Niki Mardas, Global Canopy Programme and Tracy Johns, Woods Hole Research Center
Setting Out the Challenge: Why a Readiness Framework, and Why Now?
- 9:30 Questions/Discussion
- 9:40 Overview and discussion of recent and ongoing efforts: What is Underway with Readiness Data Collection, Analysis and Communication: Different Approaches

Presenters will have 8-10 minutes to introduce their publication or platform currently analyzing REDD activities. Invited presenters include: Leo Peskett, ODI; Evan Johnson, Forum on Readiness for REDD; Charlie Parker, Global Canopy Programme; Mariana Pavan, IDESAM; Florence Daviet, WRI; Gillian Cerbu, ASB; Ken Andrasko, FCPF; Estelle Fach, UNREDD and Kate Hamilton, Ecosystem Marketplace.
- 11:30 BREAK
- 11:45 Presentation and Discussion: Provisional Readiness Framework

GCP/The Forum present a provisional framework to initiate a discussion on what a framework might include, and how it might be structured. Discussion: What key questions should be addressed in designing a framework? What additions, modifications or deletions could improve the framework?
- 1:00 LUNCH
- 2:00 Discussion: What are the key issues which, if successfully addressed, will ensure success of the readiness database?

Group will identify key issues that must be addressed or operating principles in order for the initiative to succeed. These might include decision making, collaboration structure, access to data, ideas for criteria for entry into framework (i.e. what is minimum quality of data, what is useful, how can it be obtained, etc.)

2:45 Small Group Work to Elaborate on Key Issues and Options

3:45 BREAK

4:00 Small Groups Report Back

4:30 Discussion: Integration of Small Group Discussions and Next Steps

Overlaps, issues to be resolved, options to do so

5:15 Wrap Up and Next Steps

5:30 Adjourn