

### Prior Group Presentation

- Sri Lanka
- Burma
- Cambodia
- Nepal
- Philippines
- Tomo facilitator

- Examples of traditional decision making processes
- Summary of findings
- 3. Recommendation
- 4. Additional comments

**Group members** 

**Contents** 

#### Sri Lanka- Vadda

- Isolated hunter gatherer communities, recently reallocated to villages
- Vadda communities don't really care about formal decisions, they would rather say "yes" to everything because saying "no" is problematic
- Time, prior, etc doesn't mean very much in this context

### Burma - Kechin

- Village committee (10-15, only men) decide on behalf of 100 HHs
- Final decision made by headman, based on committee discussion
- Usually villagers do not have right to make decision, only informed

# Cambodia Phuong (Northern Province)

- Village elder organization (5-6 elders, gender balanced) decides on behalf of the 50-60 HH
  - 1. Initial meeting to present the issue (1 day)
  - 2. One month to internalize and discuss
  - 3. Decision making meeting (1 day)
- Other- training/seminars for complex issues

## Nepal-Mustang

- Most issues are discussed in annual 3 day meetings participated by all HHs. "Posang"
  - Avoiding the rainy season, and also when there is snow
- For urgent issues, village meetings take place and headmen decide, followed by a headman of headmen decision

### Philippines- Kankanaey

- Meeting involving all villagers to discuss issues -> duration depends on the urgency, food also a factor
- 2. Council of elders make a decision in the "sacred place" to formalize
- 3. No women involved in above, but women are consulted at HH level by their husbands
- Complex issues may take months for community to properly understand and decide on

## Summary of findings

- Time needed for community process to take place varies— some need to go to designated areas for decision making; consultation process is varied, often informal but efforts are made to get all inputs;
- Customary decision making processes already exist- Village leaders, headmen, clan leaders, elders are defined through community process and are already in place with clear roles on decision making

### Principles and Standards for "Prior"

- Appropriate time must be given for communities to understand and internalize the issues- required time depends on the issue and community
- Community calendar must be observed no meetings when agricultural activities are being undertaken, when there is snow, etc.
- Consent must be obtained prior to planning, brainstorming stage – including development of benefit sharing plan
- At all levels/stages participation and representation of indigenous peoples must be ensured – what is ideal is planning should be bottom-up, communities should determine what their needs are and these gets incorporated in national plans

### Fundamental Condition for FPIC

 Recognition of indigenous peoples rights must take place prior to any discussions on projects or processess – for instance, why would government need to get our consent when we do not have rights over forests;

# Fundamental Condition for FPIC (continued)

- National policies/laws must be amended to ensure that they conform to the principles of the UNDRIP
- National laws must be amended/ strengthened to recognize indigenous peoples land and forest rights
- UN-REDD should work with governments towards the recognition of indigenous peoples rights – i.e. delineation of indigenous peoples lands

## Guidelines for Mongolovia

- Establish a special committee to review existing laws and regulations regarding REDD+, from the perspective of Indigenous Peoples rights
  - Half of the committee members should be IP representatives
  - The other half multi-stakeholder- including Government institution responsible for IPs
  - UN-REDD NJP to fund/support all necessary activities including workshops, research, travel, printing etc.
  - Maximum 15 people
  - 3 months
  - Output -> policy analysis, recommending revision, new legislation etc.
  - Translated into appropriate languages as decided by the committee
  - National workshop to disseminate the results, and publish, shared with members of congress/parliament/political parties/donors/key stakeholders

- District Governments and local community representatives analyze community mapping needs
  - To identify who should be consulted/ FPIC'd
  - To clarify IP territories, overlapping land claims, existing land use, deforestation/degradation causes/patterns
  - Utilize GIS
  - Local gov staff and IP/CSO reps
  - 3 months
  - Should lead to actual mapping and CB according to resources

- Information campaign on REDD+ and rights of IPs
  - Provincial level workshop to determine awareness raising needs
    - Translation of UNDRIP to relevant local languages and appropriate interpretation/dissemination
    - TOT design
    - Procurement of local IP/CSO to develop information material/ campaigns/radio script/street dramas
      - Track record of working info dissemination
    - Info on REDD+ and rights
    - Funding to be secured for subsequent follow up activities
    - Leads up to national level workshop with Output-> REDD+ communication strategy
      - Relevant stakeholders including Ministry of Information, CSOs, research organizations

- Appropriate time must be given for communities to understand and internalize the proposed activity
  - Communities should be consulted on how much time is required to make decisions
    - Communities should be supplied with relevant information before they decide on decision making time requirements
    - This initial consultation on decision making time should be ascertained through relevant community representative councils
  - The community representative councils notify proponent(s) on the required time/number of meetings etc. for decision making
  - Proponents and community representative councils co-develop FPIC plan taking into account the above
  - All of the above activities can be brought to the recourse mechanism if necessary

#### Verification of "prior"

- Independent verification on whether the "prior" principle was followed in the FPIC process by an institution which has been agreed by all relevant stakeholders
- Key verification criteria:
  - Information (leaflets, radio etc) was shared at village level
  - Community calendar of events was taken into account
  - Availability of villagers was considered