

WILLIAM J. CLINTON FOUNDATION

REDD+ & its Safeguards in INDONESIA



CLINTON
CLIMATE
INITIATIVE



Stepi Hakim (shakim@clintonfoundation.org)

5 March 2013

Swissotel Hotel Nai Lert Park– Bangkok, Indonesia

Background Current Status REDD+

2007

- IFCA
- COP 13

2008

- DA REDD+ Policy
- National Council CC

2009

- Carbon Policy on Forest Management Unit

2010

- National REDD+ Task Force established
- Pilot Province for REDD+ selected
- Province Task Force established

2011

- Lol Norway
- Moratorium on Primary Forest and Peat for 2 years
- REDD+ Task Force Phase II

2012

- National Strategy completed
- Pilot Provincial Strategy selected
- PRISAI developed & tested
- FREEDI proposed
- REDD+ Agency proposed
- MRV developed
- Provincial strategies developed

Achievements so far

- National Strategy for REDD+ completed
 - Provincial strategy being developed (Central Kalimantan & East Kalimantan completed, others are completed soon)
- Moratorium primary forest and peat lands (65 million ha)
 - Three times moratorium map revised (every six months)
- Selection of 11 pilot provinces (Central Kalimantan is the first priority)
- National Task Force for REDD+
 - 10 working groups within National Task Force established

2011 – Moratorium Forest & Peats (65 million ha)

Indonesian President Instruction No. 10/2011 – Moratorium for New Licensing and Improvement of Primary Natural Forests and Peatlands Governance

- no any new forest licenses issued for natural forests and or peat lands

It would **NOT** apply for if the areas have had as follows:

- the application for forest licenses that are in principle approved by the Ministry of Forestry;
- land, oil and natural gas, electricity, rice and sugarcane;
- the extension of forest licenses and other existing licenses on forest land use; and needed for “vital” national development projects such as geothermal
- ecosystem restoration.

Potential sites for REDD+ (inside forest management unit) in Indonesia

REDD+

```
graph TD; C[Community initiative] --> REDD((REDD+)); P[Private initiative] --> REDD; G[Government initiative] --> REDD;
```

Community initiative:

- Community Forestry
- Village Forest
- Community Based Plantation
- Customary Forest

Private initiative:

- Natural Forest Concession
- Ecosystem Restoration

Government initiative:

- National Park
- Natural Reserve
- Wildlife Sanctuary
- Protected Forest


CCI-Forestry Indonesia | Overview of REDD+ Project Sites | 2013



Approach and initiatives of REDD+ safeguards in Indonesia

Project Standard	Program Safeguard
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Full-fledged carbon offset standards<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Verified Carbon Standard (VCS),• Project Design Standard<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Climate, Community and Biodiversity Standards (CCB)• Other Standards:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Biodiversity Safeguards (WWF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• REDD+ SES (CCBA & CARE International & Clinton Foundation/LEI)• World Bank SESA (Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment) and ESMF (Environmental and Social Management Framework)• IFC Performance Standards• PGA/UN-REDD Safeguard• PRISAI (National Task Force REDD+)• System of Information Safeguards (SIS) (Ministry of Forestry)


Process REDD+ SES



Example of field test for the indicators

Explanation of Indicator Framework that its data would be collected in the field	Guidance on how to collect the information (Activity Description)	Implementation		Proof		Note
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
1.1.1 There is a participatory process for inventory and mapping: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Right owner based on regulation or customary right Boundary of right area Right area that is overlapped with other rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Checking with community regarding to as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Types of rights within communities and find out who are the people/the community owned such rights. boundary signs between two different community right areas (based on formal or informal agreement agreed by two or more communities) Any overlapped areas exist in the area based on community statement or government maps. 	√		√		River and Hill are used as boundary signs between the village and the customary rights (between kaleka and pahewan), however those signs were poorly documented.
		√			√	


REDD+ SES for SIS (Provincial Level)




REDD+ Safeguards Information System (a thought)

- So many standards? How to integrate? How to avoid low standard? How to report the result of assessment to the public?
- SIS is suggested to be a web platform (in order to be able to synchronize different standards in online)

Online & Offline SIS



Database & Synchronization Safeguards



WILLIAM J.
CLINTON
FOUNDATION

Thank You

khob-kun ขอขอบคุณ

