



Evaluation Results for the PGA Knowledge Exchange Meeting in Bogor, Indonesia (17-18 April 2012)

The two-day PGA Knowledge Exchange Meeting brought together 54 participants in total, including government and civil society representatives, as well as colleagues from The UN-REDD Programme and within the associated agencies engaged in governance issues in general and the PGA pilots in particular.


At the conclusion of the workshop, participants were given an evaluation form to provide feedback and suggestions. The overall rating for this meeting has been very good, and the detailed highlights are summarized below.




Content & Issues addressed




Methodology of the meeting



Relevance to your work




Exchange of ideas and experiences for the PGA




Exchange of ideas and experiences for the PGA

Networking




My knowledge of and interest in the PGA has improved




My knowledge of and interest in the PGA has improved

It has motivated me to act as soon as possible




It has motivated me to act as soon as possible

The meeting has given me ideas on how to apply what I have learned




The meeting has given me ideas on how to apply what I have learned

The meeting has fulfilled my expectations




The meeting has fulfilled my expectations


Panelist




Topics covered




Presentations




Meeting room




Organization of the event in general




Materials and Information



Session1-Opening session




Session 2-PGAs in a broader governance assessment perspective




Session 2-PGAs in a broader governance assessment perspective

Session3-Insight into the four PGA pilots




Session4-context, process, and strategic issues in a PGA




Session4-context, process, and strategic issues in a PGA


Session5-what to measure



Session 6-how to measure



Session 7-what to do with the data



Session 7-what to do with the data

Part 1: Structured questions

Scoring information note:

- 1: Strongly poor
- 2: Poor
- 3: Good
- 4: Very Good
- 5: Excellent

Achievement of the objectives

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Good	2	6.5	6.5	6.5
Very good	21	67.7	67.7	74.2
Excellent	8	25.8	25.8	100.0
Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Content & Issues addressed

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Good	5	16.1	16.1	16.1
Very good	19	61.3	61.3	77.4
Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0
Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Methodology of the meeting

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Good	9	29.0	29.0	29.0
Very good	14	45.2	45.2	74.2
Excellent	8	25.8	25.8	100.0
Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Relevance to your work

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	3.2	3.2	3.2
Good	4	12.9	12.9	16.1
Very good	15	48.4	48.4	64.5
Excellent	11	35.5	35.5	100.0
Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Exchange of ideas and experiences for the PGA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	5	16.1	16.7	16.7
	Very good	16	51.6	53.3	70.0
	Excellent	9	29.0	30.0	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Networking

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	4	12.9	13.3	13.3
	Very good	17	54.8	56.7	70.0
	Excellent	9	29.0	30.0	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

My knowledge of and interest in the PGA has improved

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	4	12.9	12.9	12.9
	Very good	16	51.6	51.6	64.5
	Excellent	11	35.5	35.5	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

It has motivated me to act as soon as possible

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	11	35.5	35.5	35.5
	Very good	14	45.2	45.2	80.6
	Excellent	6	19.4	19.4	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

The meeting has given me ideas on how to apply what I have learned

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	3.2	3.2	3.2
	Good	6	19.4	19.4	22.6
	Very good	12	38.7	38.7	61.3
	Excellent	12	38.7	38.7	100.0

The meeting has given me ideas on how to apply what I have learned

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	3.2	3.2	3.2
	Good	6	19.4	19.4	22.6
	Very good	12	38.7	38.7	61.3
	Excellent	12	38.7	38.7	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

The meeting has fulfilled my expectations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	3.2	3.2	3.2
	Good	9	29.0	29.0	32.3
	Very good	14	45.2	45.2	77.4
	Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Panelist

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	5	16.1	16.7	16.7
	Very good	18	58.1	60.0	76.7
	Excellent	7	22.6	23.3	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Meeting room

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	2	6.5	6.7	6.7
	Very good	10	32.3	33.3	40.0
	Excellent	18	58.1	60.0	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Organization of the event in general

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	3.2	3.4	3.4
	Good	1	3.2	3.4	6.9
	Very good	11	35.5	37.9	44.8
	Excellent	16	51.6	55.2	100.0
	Total	29	93.5	100.0	
Missing	System	2	6.5		
Total		31	100.0		

Materials and Information

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	3.2	3.2	3.2
	Good	6	19.4	19.4	22.6
	Very good	17	54.8	54.8	77.4
	Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Session1-Opening session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	5	16.1	16.1	16.1
	Very good	19	61.3	61.3	77.4
	Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Session 2-PGAs in a broader governance assessment perspective

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	8	25.8	25.8	25.8
	Very good	19	61.3	61.3	87.1
	Excellent	4	12.9	12.9	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Session3-Insight into the four PGA pilots

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	7	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Very good	17	54.8	54.8	77.4
	Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0

Session3-Insight into the four PGA pilots

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	7	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Very good	17	54.8	54.8	77.4
	Excellent	7	22.6	22.6	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Session4-context, process, and strategic issues in a PGA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	5	16.1	16.7	16.7
	Very good	18	58.1	60.0	76.7
	Excellent	7	22.6	23.3	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Session5-what to measure

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	7	22.6	23.3	23.3
	Very good	16	51.6	53.3	76.7
	Excellent	7	22.6	23.3	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Session 6-how to measure

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	7	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Very good	16	51.6	51.6	74.2
	Excellent	8	25.8	25.8	100.0
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	

Session 7-what to do with the data

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	6	19.4	20.0	20.0
	Very good	16	51.6	53.3	73.3
	Excellent	8	25.8	26.7	100.0
	Total	30	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1	3.2		
Total		31	100.0		

Part 2: Open ended questions

Specific Aspects have contributed to enhance your understanding of PGAs for REDD+ throughout the meeting

All sessions have given basic knowledge. The four PGA pilots enhanced a lot my understanding of PGA as well as group discussions also make deep understanding on PGA
Context, what to measure and how to measure
Experience of PGA pilot in Indonesia and what to do with the data
Group discussions was very good to clear out doubt
Has experiences
how different countries view this process & what they are actually doing
How to measure and data utilization and participation process
I have learned a lot from the meeting especially PGA and REDD+
Institutional Context Analysis, REDD+ and Anti Corruption
Intro to PGA
Learning from ongoing process
PGA in a broader governance assessment perspective
Presentations from UN-REDD colleagues and countries experiences, mostly side discussions with colleagues & country presentations
Session 2,3,4 enhance my expectation and knowledge about PGA in related to REDD+
The description of the national priorities identified by the PGA pilots.
The GAP experience, how other countries especially Indonesia are going about this initiative
The group discussion sessions where strategic questions were discussed
The group more and plenary on the second day of the meeting. Group more provide opportunities for individual group members reflect on information received from the first day of the workshop
The importance & strategic roles of various stakeholders in answering successful implementation of PGA for REDD+
The information of the experience of other country pilots
The role of governance into the implementation of REDD+
Tool to clarify "governance" measures and what elements are important in governance, country experience and PGA process
what to do with the collected data

New insights complemented your knowledge of the PGAs for REDD+

challenges countries face in understanding governance assessment
Different perspectives on PGA application to country specific cases
Everything learned was quite "new" in a different light
Exchange the different experience about PGA
ICA on the first day. In particular I learned more about linking things up PGA safeguards and ICA
Methods of participatory involvement starting with identifying the participants whom can part take & those whom cannot participate
Network of PGA/Governance-related parties even outside the forestry sector. There are also other in line tools that have been implemented, so we can learn from the previously implemented tools
new insight involving broader stakeholder including parliament is imperative to achieve successful implementation of REDD+ and PGA
Parliamentarian involvement potential, stakeholders mapping (power relations)
PGA is a pre-requisite for planning process/policy formulation. Participatory approach is essential for every development process
PGA is a tool and its results to enhance and improve the performance on gradual and policy discussion
PGA is important process for REDD+, countries. It will contribute to REDD+ process to reduce corruptions and pro indigenous people policy
Selecting appropriate data collection methods
something new and lots to learn more
The Indonesia challenge on governance makes me believe it can be done in Nigeria
The need to analyze the intent of the stakeholder involved in the PGA process. The link with the REDD+ legislative support could be provided by the REDD+ Project
The need to pay more attention to legislative contributions in the preparation & implementation of REDD+
Understanding much better the possible links between existing REDD+ processes and PGA process, and the complementarities between them
very good experiences were shared by pilot PGAs so that this was assist to apply in practicing countries need

What sub-topics, or related areas of the PGAs for REDD+ of the meeting should be developed/addressed in the future

and safeguards information system
Capacity building & skills in legal drafting for relevant stakeholders of REDD+
Communication strategy
Context, process and strategic issues in a PGA
could be disseminating and sharing the topics that related to PGA for REDD+ to countries are not active yet
Financial costing in elaborating on awareness purposes
ICA, legal/legislative support
Ideas for engaging the private sector, which should be similar to the exchange of expression on engaging legislators
Lessons learnt & challenges & how the PGA can be improved using pilots/results
link to other process & detail of indicators
More country experience in implementing PGA and results of implementation
PGA process and results improve the REDD+ implementation PGA guide to simplify on the ground level
Real case studies-what works and what not
The need to enhance law enforcement mechanism and to review laws and regulations

The pilots to enhance our understanding of different aspects and adapt lessons learned in each participating country
what to measure, how to measure and data utilization
What to measure, PGAs in broader governance assessment perspective

Taking forward the outcome of the meeting into your work

A lot of contacts from forestry and climate change so my knowledge and PGA could inform me in consultation with them
A step down of the training to implementing partners in my country. Second applying some of the ideas to my own more which is broader than PGA
All the data that we have gathered, will now be disseminated to relevant government dept, NGOs, CSOs, private sectors and local communities
by addressing legal issues related to the PGA process in support to REDD+ implementation
how to plan a governance assessment
I will join to the PGA program in my country and try to be good representative from CSO
Immediate reappraisal and application of the PGA principles to my work
Informing myself on PGA for REDD+ in more detail, promoting & supporting PGA for REDD+ at the country level, paying more attention to sustainability, keeping in touch and communication in PGA colleague
not share yet
PGA is basic for participatory approach for each process. PGA will be helpful in planning forest management and at the related areas
Share results in form of report to my government clearly providing recommendations that are evidence based. Provide verbal briefs to the technical working groups & use the results/lessons learnt
Sharing and discussing the PGA and the meeting enhance the network of REDD+ colleague
The PGA are important to move forward for the REDD+, but for the work to consult with government
to ensure credibility, integrity & accountability in the process & results of PGA for REDD+
To integrate PGA into the governance structure of the Nigerian REDD+ Programme
Use the information provided as example for my country process
Will share with colleagues and make efforts to involve more in PGA related activities and integrate the concept of participating in my work
with experiences shared during the 2 days how in better position to apply PGA activities
work through the country's relevant agency especially climate change (institutions) department
Total

Additional specific observations and comments of the meeting

Efforts to develop PGA tools to asses drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, approach in use of PGA for stakeholder analysis
Generally, we have done work PGA and not realized it is PGA, so this meeting has clarity the issue
Great job
Invite private sector
it is a good meeting to exchange the idea and learned more from the expressed countries
It is generally good and useful and well organized
Learned so many important aspects of supporting REDD+, look forward to results from pilot countries
Nice environment but the aircon is too cold
particularly well organized, and in a very pleasant setting
Pilot PGA countries could have received more information about PGA and expected achievements well in advance before the meeting in order to answer more specifically the questions

smaller group of discussion have encouraged more participation. However, presentation of the results need to be more highlighted and more interactive-perhaps in smaller room

This has been an excellent workshop. The venue is excellent. Congratulations to the organizers for a piece of work well done

Tight schedule - not enough time

well organized meeting + thank UN-REDD + Organizing teams