DRAFT

Mid-Term Evaluation Report

UN-REDD National Joint Programme in Panama

Independent Investigation and Evaluation Team August 2013

Contents

List of Abbreviations	1
Executive Summary	1
Acknowledgements	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1. UN-REDD National Joint Programme in Panama	2
1.2. Programme evaluation	2
1.3. Methodology and working principles	
1.4. Context of the National Joint Programme	
1.4.1. Panama's Forests	
1.4.2. The Indigenous Peoples of Panama	5
1.4.3. Other actors associated with the forestry sector in Panama	
1.4.4 International legislation and commitments	
1.4.5. International context of REDD+	
1.4.6. REDD+'s initiatives in Panama	
2. Design and relevance of the National Joint Programme	
2.1. Design	
2.1.1. Process for formulation and design	
2.1.2 Execution modality	
2.1.3. REDD+ as a goal in process	
2.1.4. Results framework	
2.1.5. Observations regarding the NJP Design	
2.2. Relevance of the programme for the country	
3. Progress towards Results and Effectiveness	
3.1. Results Progress Table	
3.2. Observations regarding specific products	
3.2.1. Legal Framework	
3.2.2. Strategy for payment and distribution of benefits	
3.2.3. Map of forest coverage	
3.2.4. National forest inventory	
3.2.5. REDD+ National Round Table	
4. Stakeholder Engagement	
4.1. History of stakeholder engagement	
4.2. Representation of Indigenous Peoples	
4.3. Participation of the Indigenous Peoples	
4.3.1. Participation of the Indigenous Peoples	
4.3.2. Participation of the Afro-descendent communities	
4.3.3. Participation of civil society institutions and organizations	
4.4. Communication with stakeholders	
4.4. Communication with stakeholders	
4.5. Capacity-balance in a second strain of the sec	
construction of capacities	
5. Adaptive Management 5.1. Steering committee and other decision making bodies	
5.2. Coordination Mechanisms	
5.2.1. Coordination Unit	
5.3. Planning work	

5.5. Personnel	Ð
5.6. Monitoring and reporting systems40)
5.8. Risk Management41	L
5.9. Problem-solving42	2
5.10. Management effectiveness43	3
6. Financial management and efficiency44	1
6.1. Financial execution and co-financing44	1
6.2. Efficiency	5
7. Impact	7
8. Sustainability47	7
8.1. Links with other actors and government procedures	7
8.2. REDD+ National Round Table48	3
8.3. Sustainability of national forest inventory48	3
9. Conclusions, recommendations and lessons learned50)
9.1. Conclusions)
9.2. Recommendations51	L
9.3. Lessons learned	2
Attachment A: List of Persons who were Consulted54	1

List of Abbreviations

ACP	The Panama Canal Authority (Autoridad del Canal de Panamá)
ANAM	National Environment Authority of Panama (Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente)
CATHALAC	Water Center for the Humid Tropics of Latin America and the
	Caribbean (Centro del Agua del Trópico Húmedo para América Latina y el Caribe)
CCAD	Central American Commission for Environment and Development (Comisión Centroamericana de Ambiente y Desarrollo)
CONACCP	National Committee for Climate Change of Panama (Comité Nacional de Cambio Climático de Panamá
CONAREDD	National REDD+ Committee (Comité Nacional REDD+)
COONAPIP	National Coordinating Body of Indigenous Peoples in Panama
	(Coordinadora Nacional de los Pueblos Indígenas de Panamá)
COP	Conference of the Parties
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FCPF	Forest Carbon Partnership Facility
GIZ	German Society for International Cooperation (Deutsche Gesellschaft für
	Internationale Zusammenarbeit)
ILO	International Labor Organization
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural
	Resources
INF	National Forest Inventory (Inventario Nacional Forestal)
MEF	Ministry of Finance and the Economy (Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas)
NES	National Environment Strategy (Estrategia Nacional del Ambiente)
NJP	National Joint Programme
PEIP	Strategic Plan and Policy Development (Plan Estratégico de Incidencia Política)
POA	Annual Operating Plan (Plan Operativo Annual)
R-Plan	REDD Readiness Plan
SBSTA	Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice of the
	Convention
SINAP	National System of Protected Areas (Sistema Nacional de Áreas Protegidas)
STRI	Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute
UNDAF	United Nations Development Assistance Framework
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UN-REDD	United Nations Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from
	Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries
UNS	United Nations System

Executive Summary

This shall be completed based on the final version of the report.

Acknowledgements

The team wishes to express its gratitude to all the United Nations' agencies' personnel that comprise the UN-REDD Programme and to all the institutions and representatives of the Panamanian government, indigenous peoples, civil society, and academia that so generously offered their support and collaboration during the evaluation process.

The UN-REDD Panama National Programme has gone through difficult processes, but all the involved individuals have stated their interest and intention that the experiences generated and the lessons learned serve to inform future UN-REDD programmes. The Team hopes that this Report may contribute to this objective.

1. Introduction

1.1. UN-REDD National Joint Programme in Panama

The UN-REDD National Joint Programme (NJP) in Panama is led by the designated state entity, the National Environment Authority of Panama (ANAM), and carried out with the technical and direct support of the 3 agencies of the United Nations involved in UN-REDD: the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The NJP was designed between 2009 and 2010, with a budget of US 5.3 million dollars that is directly executed by the 3 agencies in collaboration with the National Environment Authority of Panama (ANAM). The foreseen term of the programme is 3 years, from January 2011 to January 2014.

According to the Programme Document, the objective of the Programme is "to assist the Government of Panama to develop an effective REDD+ regime". The Programme aims to contribute such that "towards the end of 2013, Panama shall be ready to implement REDD+ and have the capacity to reduce emissions from deforestation and the degradation of forests at a national level".

The Programme has two main results:

- <u>Result 1</u>: Established institutional capacity for the efficient coordination and execution of the national REDD+ strategy of Panama. This result is the shared responsibility of ANAM, UNDP, and UNEP.
- <u>Result 2:</u> The technical capacity to monitor, measure, report, and verify the reduction of emissions from the deforestation and degradation of forests. This result is the shared responsibility of ANAM, FAO, and UNEP.

1.2. Programme evaluation

In February of 2013, the National Coordinating Body of the Indigenous Peoples of Panama (COONAPIP) issued a Resolution announcing their withdrawal from the NJP, citing a lack of guarantees for the respect of indigenous rights and the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples. In response to the complaints made by the COONAPIP and with the agreement of the ANAM, the UN-REDD Programme proceeded to carry out an exhaustive and independent investigation into the complaints and a mid-term evaluation of the NJP. Meanwhile, all new activities of the UN-REDD Panama National Programme were (and continue to be) suspended pending the investigation and evaluation.

On the 20th of May of 2013, the external and independent work team¹ initiated the investigation and evaluation process. The Team is comprised of the following independent experts:

• Ms. Birgitte Feiring, anthropologist, specialized in the rights and development of indigenous peoples (Head of Mission);

¹ Referred to as "the Team" in this Report.

• Mr. Eduardo Abbott, attorney, former Executive Secretary of the Inspection Panel of the World Bank, specialist in independent investigations.

Furthermore, the Team has the technical support of Mr. Osvaldo Jordán and Ms. Nanna Brendholdt Thomsen.

The objectives of the evaluation/investigation were threefold:

- (1) Investigate the complaints made by COONAPIP against the implementation of the UN-REDD Panama National Programme;
- (2) Thoroughly evaluate the execution of the National Programme from the moment of its approval until an intermediate point with special emphasis on the matters of stakeholder engagement; and
- (3) Provide orientation and recommendations for the future implementation of the National Programme and the corrective measures that must be taken to attend to the concerns of the indigenous peoples.

The Team carried out its first visit to Panama, with a focus on the investigation, from May 28^{th} to June 7^{th} , 2013. On the basis of that visit, the Team elaborated a Preliminary Set of Notes of their findings, conclusions, and recommendations from the investigation, which, after an open and ample procedure of dissemination and comments, was finalized and presented during an informative session prior to the UN-REDD Policy Board meeting that took place from the $25^{th} - 28^{th}$ of June of 2013 in Lombok, Indonesia².

From the 16th to the 26th of July 2013, the Team carried out their second visit to Panama. This visit focused on the pending matters of the evaluation. Based on the second visit, the team prepared the Mid-Term Evaluation Report contained herein.

1.3. Methodology and working principles

The methodology of the evaluation took into account the following key elements:

- **Document Review**: ANAM, the United Nation agencies, COONAPIP, and civil society organizations have facilitated access to ample documentation that the Team reviewed and analyzed in detail.
- Interviews and meetings with organizations and key persons: The Team has consulted and interviewed a great number of organizations and individuals directly and through teleconferences, meetings, and workshops (see Appendix A for a list of the interviewees).
- <u>Presentation of preliminary conclusions and recommendations of the</u> <u>evaluation:</u> The Team presented the preliminary conclusions and recommendations of the evaluation on July 26th, 2013 to ANAM representatives and to the staff of the NJP and the United Nations agencies.

The evaluation was carried out based on the common criteria of evaluation, including relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact.

²Available at <u>www.unredd.org</u>

The Team has endeavored that their work be characterized by the highest level of objectivity and transparency based on the following principles:

- <u>Independence of the work team</u>: The Team was selected based on a process carried out by the Environmental and Social Compliance Review Unit of the Office of Audit and Investigations in UNDP in New York.
- <u>Transparency and confidentiality</u>: The Team has endeavored to work in a transparent manner seeking a broad base of information and respecting the confidentiality of the persons who have requested it. All the products elaborated by the Team have been made public in the broadest manner possible with an invitation to stakeholders to verify and provide information and comments.
- <u>Respect for the rights of the indigenous peoples</u>: The Team has worked on the basis of the rights of the indigenous peoples, as enshrined in the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, endeavoring to ensure that the representative organizations of indigenous peoples were fully informed and consulted, and were able to participate in the evaluation and the investigation if they wanted to, always with their prior consent for such participation.
- <u>Broad participation</u>: To obtain the broadest possible foundation for the investigation/evaluation, the Team has sought participation of and contributions from a great diversity of organizations and persons related to the NJP.

1.4. Context of the National Joint Programme

1.4.1. Panama's Forests

The map showing the coverage of Panama's forests is in the process of being developed by the NJP and has not yet been published so there is no exact data on the current state of the forests in Panama.

However, the data of the *Modelo Forestal Sostenible* (Sustainable Forestry Model) (ANAM, 2008) shows that the forest coverage of the Republic of Panama has diminished dramatically in the last 60 years.

Year	Surface Area (h)	Percentage	Source
1947	5,245,000	70.0	Garver, 1947
1970	4,081,600	53.0	FALLA, 1978
1974	3,900,000	50.0	FALLA, 1978
1986	3,664,761	48.5	SIG/ANAM,2003
1992	3,695,160	49.3	OIMT- ANAM,2003
1998	(missing data)	(missing data)	(missing data)
2000	3,364,591	44.9	SIG/OIMT,2003

Table 5. Chronological estimates of the forest area of Panama:For the years 1947, 1970, 1974, 1986, 1992, 1998, 2000.

Source: Report on forest coverage, 1992; SIG-INRENARE, 1995; SIG/OIMT, 2003

(Source: ANAM; 2003^3)

According to the study carried out by the NJP in 2011 "It is estimated that approximately 45% of the territory is forested. The forest coverage is found concentrated in the lands of the indigenous territories, which cover 20% of the national territory, and in the lands of the National System of Protected Areas [Sistema Nacional de Áreas Protegidas (SINAP)], that cover 38.7% of the national territory of which an estimated 1,081,100.4 hectares are forested. Also, in a lesser proportion, it is estimated that there are forests on private property, in particular in private reserves" (Recio, 2011: 4).

There is no official map of indigenous territories, which makes it difficult to have an accurate assessment of the percentage of forest coverage within indigenous territories and the areas of overlap between territories and protected areas. However, an ANAM publication indicates the extent of forest in the legally established territories to be of 27% in 2000 (ANAM, 2003) and a report by the NGO PRISMA cites sources that indicate *"54% of the mature forests and 54% of the forest carbon reserves are located in the indigenous territories of Panama"* (PRISMA; 2013: 11⁴).

1.4.2. The Indigenous Peoples of Panama

In Panama, there are seven indigenous peoples: Bribrí, Naso, Ngöbe, Buglé, Guna, Emberá, and Wounaan, who represent 12% of the population (2010 census). The peoples and their respective territorial authorities are in very different conditions. For example, the General Congress of the Guna Yala has a territory that was recognized 60 years ago and has systems of self-government and self-development (based on tourism revenue, amongst other sources). In contrast, the Bribrí have a population of barely 2,000 in the Panamanian territory, without any recognised lands and with recently formed and still fragile representative institutions.

³ ANAM 2003, Final report of results of forest coverage and land use in the Republic of Panama: 1992 – 2000

⁴ PRISMA Report – Indigenous People and Governing in Preparation for REDD+ en Panamá, 2013.

Starting with the fight of the Guna to achieve their autonomy, the peoples have not ceased their processes for recognition of their territories, and this has resulted in the approval of national laws for the creation of five indigenous regions and the approval of Law 72 of 2008 regarding collective lands.⁵ As affirmed by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, Professor James Anaya, upon conclusion of his visit to Panama in July of 2013: "In Panama, the conservation and development of the indigenous culture is due in great measure to the acknowledgment of the territories and to the indigenous self-government granted by the Panamanian State to various indigenous peoples of the country through a system of regions", which is "a reference point for other countries on the protection of indigenous peoples' rights to property and self-government. In the same manner, the Political Constitution of the Republic of Panama contains important provisions that protect the rights of the indigenous peoples of the country".

Nevertheless, the Special Rapporteur makes the observations that there are indigenous peoples that still do not have the recognition of their territories and that the presence of third actors within recognized territories *"has resulted in the loss of great extensions of indigenous land and natural resources as well as the fragmentation of control and decision making that the indigenous authorities exercise in their lands"*. In the same manner, the development of mega projects without consultation has continued to be a subject of concern and conflict⁶.

Historically, there have been multiple efforts to unify the battle and aspirations of the seven indigenous peoples of Panama. The National Coordinating Body of Indigenous Peoples in Panama (COONAPIP) was established in 1991 as a platform for fighting for the territorial recognition and the defense of the cultural and social rights of the indigenous peoples of Panama. During the first years of activity, COONAPIP supported the struggles of several of the indigenous peoples for the recognition of their regions and collective land. Later, due to internal differences, an institutional weakening occurred in the organization but in 2008, a compromise was reached for the unification of the indigenous struggle, which lead to the restructuring of COONAPIP, which was no longer to be represented by technical staff but rather by the maximum territorial authorities of the indigenous peoples. (Caciques and Reyes).

1.4.3. Other actors associated with the forestry sector in Panama

The Sustainable Forestry Model of Panama of 2008, states: "The forestry sector has two functions that work together to contribute to national development: One is the role of conservation, which aims to ensure the environmental stability necessary for the harnessing of the environmental services associated with forest ecosystems in the economic activities of the country, particularly in the sectors of tourism, water, energy, biodiversity, health and food security. The other is a productive function,

⁵ The five indigenous regions established at a national level: Guna Yala (1953), Embera-Wounaan (1982), Madungandi (1996), Ngöbe-Buglé (1997) and Wargandi (2000).

⁶ Declaration by the Special Narrator on the rights of the indigenous peoples at the conclusion of the official visit to Panama, 26th of July of 2013.

associated with the ability of the forest to produce goods such as timber and nontimber products." There are a variety of stakeholders in Panama associated with these functions, they have different interests in the forest and are key actors in REDD+ in Panama. In addition to the indigenous peoples, these actors include rural communities, the private sector, including forestry, Afro-descendant communities of Darien, NGOs and academia.

1.4.4 International legislation and commitments

In 1998, Panama adopted the General Environment Law and created the National Environment Authority of Panama (ANAM) to coordinate and ensure the compliance with and application of laws, regulations, and national policies on environment.

The Forest Law of Panama of 1994, in Article 10, indicates that all natural forests are state asset, implying that indigenous peoples as well as the proprietors of private forests must obtain authorization from ANAM for any forestry activity.

The General Law of the Environment originally indicated that ANAM "shall coordinate with the traditional authorities of the indigenous peoples and communities regarding all that is relevant to the environment and the existing natural resources in their areas" (Article 96). In 2003, this Article, amongst others, was derogated by the Legislative Assembly. Nevertheless, the indigenous peoples, on the basis of relevant international norms, questioned the provision that stated that all the natural forests belonged to the state (see Recio, 2011: 10). All this discussion obviously has much relevance in relation to the rights associated with carbon.

Panama ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in 1995 and the Kyoto Protocol in 1998. Following the adopted commitments, the Ministry of Economics and Finances approved the National Policy on Climate Change in 2007 and proceeded with the creation of the National Committee of Climate Change of Panama (CONACCP) in 2009. Since this period, Panama became one of the pilot countries for the elaboration of the REDD+ Strategy at a global level.

1.4.5. International context of REDD+

Since the 11th Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC (COP 11) in 2005, REDD+ has emerged as a subject in the agenda of the UNFCCC conferences and amongst various interested actors at an international, national, and local level. REDD+ emerged as an idea to establish a mechanism at an international level to generate positive incentives to reduce emissions caused by deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries.

Panama was one of the first pilot countries to formulate a programme in preparation for REDD+ in 2008-2009, in a period in which the concept and the understanding of REDD+ was still incipient and not based on experiences. Therefore, the NJP was basing itself on general ideas of REDD+ that until now have not yet been made operational at an international level. For example, the conceptualization of REDD+ as a mechanism based on economic incentives has not yet been made a reality, since financial mechanisms (UNFCCC financing mechanisms, public funds or a carbon market) are still in very premature stages.

Currently, there is a general acknowledgement that the challenges were underestimated with regards how to legally and institutionally prepare a country for REDD+, including those measures to ensure the rights and involvement of the indigenous peoples and other communities that depend on their forests. A serious factor for the NJP in Panama – and other pilot countries, which has had to be aligned to a process of continuous adaptation to what REDD+ should be.

1.4.6. REDD+'s initiatives in Panama

From the beginning, the NJP was conceptualized as a programme coordinated with and co-financed by the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) of the World Bank. FCPF approved, in principle, a budget of US 3.5 million for Panama in 2009 under certain conditions. To-date, the funding agreement has not been signed and the resources have not been transferred, but Panama has informed the FCPF that it will respond to comments made by the TAP in 2009 and update its proposal in September 2013. Furthermore, Panama has chosen UNDP as its "implementing partner" with regard to FCPF which seems like an opportunity to ensure alignment with the NJP.

The German development cooperation Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) is backing a project in Panama (Regional REDD GIZ/CCAD Program) through its regional programme with the Central American Commission for Environment and Development (CCAD). From the beginning, GIZ has sought complementarity to UN-REDD implementation in Panama. In addition to supporting several initial studies before UN-REDD had funds, work plans were shared to identify areas where GIZ could collaborate with Panama. One area not covered by UNREDD was pilot projects, and therefore GIZ opted for supporting Panama in this specific topic. Always in coordination with the ANAM and maintaining the agencies informed, the program is supporting a pilot project in the canal basin with the Panama Canal Authority (ACP); the Pilot Project on compensation mechanisms for the sustainable management of forest resources in the basin of the Panama Canal. Likewise, a regional programme financed by USAID through CCAD is in the process of being concretized that could possibly make the province of Darién a priority.

2. Design and relevance of the National Joint Programme

2.1. Design

2.1.1. Process for formulation and design

In June 2008, Panama was selected as a pilot country for the initiation of REDD+ demonstrative activities by FCPF and UN-REDD, and ANAM commenced the preparation of a REDD country Readiness Plan (R-Plan). In September of the same year, Panama requested resources from UN-REDD to co-finance its R-Plan, *"considering that the funds provided by FCPF shall not be sufficient"*. The intention was to develop a joint programmatic framework between UN-REDD and FCPF, sharing the same document for the programme and a common results matrix.

From September to November of 2008, ANAM worked to develop the R-Plan and organized some informative sessions with indigenous authorities. Nevertheless, in January of 2009, the UN-REDD agencies observed, amongst other aspects, a *"deficient participation of the local stakeholders"* in the elaboration of the proposal and indicated the importance that these actors *"have a fundamental role in the decision making process and not solely be the object of consultation with the finality of collecting information"*. Likewise, the World Bank recommended a more profound and extensive process of consultation and engagement.

In June of 2009, ANAM presented its UN-REDD National Programme in an informal manner to the Second Meeting of the UN-REDD Policy Board, which requested that Panama meet the following procedures to be considered intersessionally for the budget allocation of 5.3 million for the NJP: validation meeting; review of the document by the Secretariat of the UN-REDD Programme; and review by independent technical experts. Similarly, the FCPF meeting in June 2009 approved the Panama R-PP, in principle, under certain conditions, including the need to conduct more in-depth and extensive consultations with civil society and indigenous peoples. The transfer of funds from FCPF to Panama is still pending upon the meeting of these conditions.

In September-October 2009, and after the government change in June 2009, a 3week process of collaboration was initiated between UN-REDD Panama and COONAPIP that culminated with the review and validation of the Programme Document by COONAPIP and the approval of the budget allocation based on said document by the UN-REDD Policy Board in October 2009. According to UN-REDD procedures, to proceed with the transfer of funds, the document must be completed, incorporating the recommendations of the independent review and of the Secretariat to later be signed by the 3 agencies and the Government. The review process took one year mostly due to the internal changes in ANAM until the Panama document was concluded and signed in October of 2010.

The document was developed under the assumption that it was going to be a joint programme of UN-REDD and FCPF, and it was not until September 2010 that the World Bank notified that it was not able to sign a joint document. Consequently, in

September-October of 2010, work was done to "purge" the NJP document of the references to FCPF, but many remained (for example in reference to the management modality and safeguards). Finally, the NJP Document was signed by the government of Panama and the UN-REDD agencies in October of 2010.

2.1.2 Execution modality

The programme document states (p. 35) that the UN-REDD funds shall be implemented jointly with FCPF funds, and that a single unit for coordination and administration shall be established to jointly administer the funds. It also provides for the contracting of a coordinator for the NJP, to be based in ANAM. In the same document (p. 38), it is proposed to adopt the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor Program, implemented by ANAM and financed by the World Bank as a model for administrative management. The model would involve the transfer of funds to a Project Implementation Unit, based on a contract with the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF) and ANAM. Later, on the same page of the Document, it is proposed that the UN-REDD agencies "assume total programmatic and financial responsibility over the funds received". It is evident, that the same Programme Document indicated different modalities of execution that are mutually exclusive because on the one hand, it proposes a modality of national execution, and on the other, a direct execution modality by the agencies.

The modality of national execution would be aligned with that agreed upon in the agenda on aid effectiveness (as reflected in the Paris Declaration, 2005), which UNDP and Panama have both signed. The direct execution modality is used when a project cannot be implemented through a national execution modality. For example in cases of crisis, or when the government opts for it or when administrative and fiduciary capacities do not allow for a counterpart to manage and execute funds. In contrast, the modality of direct execution by the agencies goes against the principles of the Declaration with regards: 1) country ownership, 2) alignment with the strategies, systems, and the procedures of the countries, and potentially 3) harmonization of donor actions.

Initially, ANAM opted for a national execution modality but when the programme commenced in 2011, it did so through direct execution by the agencies. It seems that the lack of a proper unit for the execution within the institution and also the instability of ANAM, and the apparent disinterest toward the NJP of the prior administration of ANAM, were the factors that lead to this decision. Nevertheless, towards the end of 2011, a micro-evaluation of ANAM's capacity (a micro "HACT") was carried out with the end of identifying the strengths and weaknesses in the national capacity for the management and identifying the most appropriate modalities for the transfer of funds. The result of the micro-evaluation was positive regarding ANAM's capacity for execution. The report was presented at a meeting in July of 2012 with representatives from ANAM and the 3 agencies, and it was decided to establish a Work Team to identify the activities that could be passed to ANAM. In spite of these efforts, the modality of direct execution by the agencies has continued, after the General Manager requested it in the high-level meeting with the Resident Coordinator of the United Nations System (UNS) on November 20, 2012...

2.1.3. REDD+ as a goal in process

The NJP was designed in 2008-2009 when the REDD+ concept was still in the development process and many methodological recommendations were lacking – particularly with regard to safeguards. Therefore, the first joint programmes between UN-REDD and FCPF were designed without a common template and without much methodological orientation concerning international negotiations regarding the preparation requirements for REDD+, safeguards, and themes related to monitoring.

Likewise, at the time there were high expectations of what REDD+ could become in a few years, based on various unproven assumptions about the clarity and differentiation of the preparation and implementation phases.

These uncertainties are reflected in the NJP's design that is extremely ambitious in terms of what it expects to achieve within a period of 3 years. The objectives propose that by the end of 2013 and with limited resources, Panama can adapt its legal framework, install the institutional capacities to implement REDD+ and reduce the emissions of deforestation and forest degradation. For example, the elaboration of the REDD+ National Strategy figures only as one among 19 "indicative activities" under the result 1.2. – though now it is known that to develop a National Strategy would be an enormous achievement for the NJP. In the same manner, the need to make a map of the forest coverage does not appear in the results framework due to the fact that it already assumes there will be the environmental base line necessary for developing a Monitoring System for the Forest Coverage.

In general, the complexity that characterizes the regulatory framework regarding land and natural resources, a historically conflictive situation between the indigenous peoples and the State, technical challenges in terms of monitoring, and institutional and political complexity, are elements that are not reflected in the design. Also, when it became clear that there would be no immediate co-financing from FCPF, the products expected from the NJP were not adjusted or re-scaled.

2.1.4. Results framework

The NJP results framework shows many incongruities including at the level of goals and objectives.

On pages 18-19 of the Programme Document, it is stated that the **goal is**: "Panama's *REDD+* proposal will contribute to mitigating climate change through the reduction of emissions caused by deforestation and the degradation of national forests, with the aim of recovering and/or increasing forest coverage in relation to the national base line, that may serve as a source of ecosystem services that permit the capitalization of the environmental services and that procure innovative sources of financing for the communities and the strengthening of the environmental management schemes of Panama".

On page 21 of the same document, another **goal** is stated: "(...) assure that by the end of 2013, Panama will be ready to implement REDD+ and have the capacity to reduce emissions from deforestation and the degradation of forests at a national

level". It is indicated that the **objective** is: "(...) *assist the Government of Panama to develop an effective REDD+ regime.*"

It seems that the first goal is formulated as a Panamanian programme focused on the reduction of emissions caused by deforestation and the degradation of forests while the other goal conceptualizes the NJP as external assistance of UN-REDD and/or FCPF to the government of Panama to prepare the country for REDD+. Contrasts between the analysis and the operative part of the Programme are also noticeable, for example, between Appendix 4 (Consultation and Participation Plan), which basically does not mention the indigenous peoples, and the aspiration reflected in the analysis of the situation, that the indigenous peoples are key actors. In general, the design lacks a clear and appropriate definition and the frameworks, mechanisms, and procedures for consultation, participation, decision-making, and monitoring. The incongruities have also contributed to problems with COONAPIP because some of the COONAPIP's priority points were included amongst the "indicative activities", but they were never systematically integrated and do not form a set of activities (product) with a defined budget.

The design also shows incongruities with regards to the products to be developed. For example, on page 21, it is stated that product 1.1 is *"The legal framework for the formulation of REDD+'s National Strategy"* while the logical framework indicates that the product is: *"a validated legal framework for the implementation of REDD+'s National Strategy"*. This may appear a simple detail, but in a programme with multiple actors, interests, contexts, and volatile processes, the ambiguity of a results' framework is difficult to manage and very demanding of its personnel because it requires a flexible, constant, and creative interpretation to be able to become operational.

2.1.5. Observations regarding the NJP Design

Based on the international context of REDD+, the NJP has had to chase a "moving target" as the understanding of REDD+ and of the preparation phases has deepened in international negotiations. This in itself constituted a challenge for the design because it is based on many uncertain suppositions and could not be based on experiences. Additionally, the design is not based on a thorough assessment of the national context and reflects a confusing process of design, with various actors and stages, and that were not remedied in the final document. In general, the NJP design shows contradictions and gaps, among which are:

- Residues of the previous aspiration of designing a coordinated and cofinanced programme with FCPF that did not become a reality;
- Incongruities in the focus, vision, and orientation of the programme in the proposed execution modalities and the results framework;
- Large gaps in the definition of roles and budgetary frameworks in relation to expected results and actors involved.

Based on the experiences already generated, it is clear that the design is exaggeratedly ambitious and has not presented a clear roadmap with adequate conceptualization and a sequence of activities and results to guide the execution of the NJP. Furthermore, due to the complexity of UN-REDD and NJP management and decision-making mechanisms no subsequent changes were made to the original design although all the actors agree on the need for adjustments. Also, there was no progress on the considerations to change the execution modality. Although this would have potential disadvantages in terms of the time required for the ANAM processes of acquisition or hiring, it would have significant advantages in terms of institutionalization and sustainability of results.

The design problems have challenged the personnel involved, demanding from them a high degree of flexibility, interpretation, and adaptation to changing contexts (national context, international REDD+ and UN-REDD) to obtain concrete results.

The fact that no adjustments have been made to the results framework also has implications for the evaluation because the Team has to evaluate the NJP based on the indicators taken from the original results framework while knowing that these reflect an overly ambitious and unrealistic design.

2.2. Relevance of the programme for the country

The subject of climate change is very relevant for Panama as the country expects to experience impacts such as the conversion of vegetation areas to desolated and degraded areas and extreme events of rainfall or temperature. As a country that primarily depends on its natural resources, this shall negatively affect the population and development. Furthermore, Panama maintains one of the highest percentages of forests in Central America (PRISMA; 2013: 10). Therefore, REDD+ is relevant for Panama in a more general manner and can also contribute to visualizing the opportunity costs and economic potentialities that will contribute to the protection of forests and the sustainable development of Panama.

The NJP also has relevance for Panama's national and international obligations and commitments in the context of the National Policy on Climate Change, the UNFCCC, and the Kyoto Protocol. Furthermore, as one of the first pilot countries of UN-REDD, Panama has a role in presenting REDD+ experiences at an international level.

The NJP, and REDD+ in general, is one of the main programmes and themes of ANAM and is reflected in the Strategic Plan proposed for the National Forest Policy. REDD+ and the NJP can also contribute to the success of other environmental initiatives managed by ANAM, such as policies and administrative plans for water resource management.

The programme also has importance for multiple sectors of the country, but so far has not developed strong links with, for example, the Ministry of Agricultural Development (MIDA). The strengthening of such ties would reinforce the REDD+'s relevance in Panama in the future.

The REDD+ theme appears to be of high interest of several Panamanian partners (civil society, indigenous peoples, academic society, and communities of afro-descendants). The programme also has relevance because it is generating products with multiple benefits for the country, such as for example,

the national forest inventory and the various maps and scenarios produced for monitoring and analysis.

For the UNS, the NJP has relevance for result number 8 of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF): *"Environmental sustainability (biodiversity conservation, forest management, climate change mitigation, and disaster risk management) is strengthened with the support of regulatory frameworks, national strategies, and local actions.*

Overall, the Team believes that the expected results – and the NJP as such, are relevant for Panama:

Results	Relevant	Not Relevant
1.1. Validated legal framework for the implementation of the REDD+ National Strategy	х	
1.2. Operational framework for the implementation of REDD+ National Strategy	Х	
1.3 Sectoral, institutional, municipal and individual capacities strengthened for the implementation of the REDD+ National Strategy	Х	
1.4. A validated and operational system for payment and distribution of benefits	x	
2.1. A national forest and carbon inventory and monitoring system	x	
2.2. Establish a baseline emissions scenario	Х	
2.3. A system for carbon accounting and emissions data generation	Х	
Overall rating	Relevant	

3. Progress towards Results and Effectiveness

In reality, the actual period of the NJP's execution has only been a year and a half due to the late start in mid-2011, and the suspension of activities in March of 2013. The most substantial progress was seen during 2012, mostly in the development of technical products while the components of consultation, participation, training, and communication are still largely outstanding. Amongst the factors that have affected the progress of the NJP, the following stand out:

- Uncertainty about REDD+ as such, on the path of defining itself internationally
- Underestimation of the complexity of legal, policy, social, and technical matters that implicated REDD+
- An over ambitious and incoherent design, for example in terms of the described execution modalities
- Late operative start up
- Institutional changes and changes of commitment at ANAM
- Lack of a definition of consultation , participation, and communication strategies from the beginning
- Very complex management of joint programme
- Slow and complex decision-making and administration procedures
- COONAPIP withdrawal from NJP in February of 2013
- Suspension of many activities since March of 2013

In Table 3.1, the Team has prepared a general overview of the main activities that were carried out and an estimate of the progress toward expected results, according to a rating scale of effectiveness defined in the Terms of Reference of the evaluation⁷.

It is worthwhile to highlight that the rating has been carried out based on the original indicators of the results framework that reflect the over-ambitious design of the Program Document. Furthermore, the rating is based on the assumption that the Policy Board responds favorably to the Team's recommendation to extend the NJP until January of 2015 (see section 9.2.) because the closing of the NJP in January of 2014 would not ensure the completion and sustainability of the products in development.

Based on these criteria, the Team estimates that the average progress of the program is moderately unsatisfactory with most satisfactory progress in terms of Outcome 2 (technical capacity to monitor, measure, report and verify emissions reductions from deforestation and forest degradation) and less satisfactory progress in terms of Outcome 1 (institutional capacity established for the efficient coordination and implementation of the REDD+ National Strategy in Panama). This also reflects the fact that the more technical

⁷ This scale has a 6 point rating scale: Highly Satisfactory (HS), Satisfactory (S), Moderately Satisfactory (MS), Moderately Insatisfactory (MI), Insatisfactory (I), Highly Insatisfactory (HI).

components (maps, scenarios, etc.) have been less affected by the suspension of activities.

3.1. Results Progress Table

Anticipated Results	Indicators	Grade	Activities	Progress	Grade
Result 1: Institu	tional Capacity established for the eff	ficient coo	rdination and execution of the REDD+ national st	rategy in Panama	<u>_</u>
1.1. Validated legal frame for the imple- mentation of	Proposal of the legal framework is approved in 2013 to facilitate the implementation of the National REDD+ Strategy	1	The programme completed the first phase of analysis of the legal framework with the work on an analysis (June 2011): "REDD+: "Legal aspects relative to its application in Panama"	There have been advancements in the analysis but a National REDD+ Strategy has not yet been elaborated, and the legal framework has not yet been approved for its implementation.	1
the National REDD+ Strategy	Number of relevant actors that support the implementation of the REDD+ legal framework (this number shall be determined during the start-up phase of the project)	1		The indicator has not yet been quantified. The indicator remains irrelevant because it reflects a level of unrealistic ambition of the Programme (legal framework has been approved and in the implementation process)	
	Agreement on the consensus on the subject of the proprietorship of carbon amongst the relevant actors reached for 2013	МІ	The results of the analysis carried out in 2011 were shared with national entities (government; indigenous peoples) and international, local, and regional forums.	Incipient debates have been encouraged on carbon rights based on the analysis and apparently a pragmatic, not formalized, consensus was reached towards focusing on a system of a distribution of benefits while the clarification of the carbon rights remains pending.	
1.2. Operational Framework for the	Number of sectoral policies that include REDD+ considerations (base line and goal shall be defined during the starting phase)	1		The indicator has not yet been quantified. There seems to no sectoral policies that include REDD+ considerations.	
implementa- tion of the National REDD+ Strategy	The state's investment increases in \$\$ to support the implementation of the National REDD+ Strategy (the goal shall be defined during the start-up phase	Ι		The indicator has not yet been quantified. The indicator remains irrelevant because it reflects a level of unrealistic ambition of the Program (the National REDD+ Strategy has not yet been defined)	1

	REDD+ National Committee			Not yet established; the intention was to establish it	
	facilitates the coordination	I		as a permanent procedure for REDD+	
	amongst the relevant actors to			implementation once the REDD+ National Strategy	
	facilitate the implementation of			was adopted.	
	the REDD+ National Strategy				
	Formulation of the REDD+ National		In 2012 the REDD+ National Round Table was	The REDD+ National Strategy will be developed at	
	Strategy based on the results of		established as well as technical sub-tables. The	the National Round Table. A good start has been	
	the preparation process and	MS	Round Table meets 2 times in plenary form	given to the participation process for the definition	
	agreed upon with the principal		with the attendance of 65 to 79 persons	of the National Strategy with products of analysis	
	social actors.		respectively. The various sub-tables meet	that are very important for the decision making, but	
			frequently and carry out diverse dynamic	at this moment, the Round Table and sub-tables are	
			activities.		
				suspended, and it does not appear to be realistic to	
			Several studies have been carried out on	end the Strategy and get a consensus amongst the	
			deforestation studies as inputs for the REDD+	principal actors on the Strategy by the end of 2014.	
			National Strategy's definition: "Direct or		
			indirect causes of deforestation and the		
			degradation of forests- changes in the use of		
			soil" (March 2012); "Analysis of the impact of		
			the programs in Panama for the control of		
			deforestation and the degradation of forests"		
			(April 2012).		
			The different inputs for analysis of		
			deforestation are part of the necessary		
			information for the calibration of a spatial		
1.2. Casterial	National Training Descences 1. Cond		model for future deforestation.		
1.3 Sectoral,	National Training Program defined	1	Between 2011-12, several informative/training	An integral program for national training has not	I
Institutional;	in 2010		workshops were carried out on REDD+:	been defined. Advances have been made with	
Municipal;			• Participation of ANAM officials in training	workshops with ANAM personnel (central and	
and Individual			activities at a national and international	regional) and with some other partners, by request.	
Capacities			level (2011)		
strengthened			• Induction Workshops for ANAM officials	The component of strengthening of the COONAPIP	
for the			between April-October 2012	and the indigenous peoples has not advanced.	
implemen-			• Forest Conservatory "Forests and Society",	The elaboration of training modules is in process.	
· ·					

tation of the REDD+ National Strategy	Program for National Communication Campaign defined in 2010	MI	May 2012 • Regional, local governments, ANAM officials, and community leaders workshops May - October 2012 A map of the political and environmental scenarios was made (2013) and an exercise of needs assessment (December 2012) to evaluate the training needs of the different sectors, which included workshops with leaders of the indigenous peoples, farming and Afro-descendant communities, officials from related institutions, and local government authorities, amongst others. There is work underway on the design of training modules on the REDD Climate Change (PNUD On-line School). A communications plan was elaborated from October 2012 until June of 2013. There has been an advance in the following activities: <u>Internal Communication</u> : Dropbox, data base contacts <u>Corporate Image and Visibility</u> : Community baseball, communication at fairs, image bank, national campaign, web page (this has not yet been made public), acting protocol. <u>Communication for Development</u> : Strengthening of the capacities of ANAM officials and the On-line School	The indicator shows an unrealistic level of ambition because it indicates a generalization of capacities at several levels and multi-sectors for the implementation of the National Strategy – that could possibly not be elaborated or approved until the conclusion of the Program.	
	National Consultation Plan Defined with its protocol in 2011	1	 The following activities have been carried out: Preparation Workshop on the 2012 Work Plan Regional Workshops for Consultation on the 	To-date, a consultation plan has not been able to be elaborated, stipulating what matters are to be consulted, during which phases and with which actors. The consultation process with the indigenous peoples has been suspended.	

	Number of representatives of the relevant actors have the capacity to execute the REDD+ National Strategy (goal shall be defined during the start up phase of the project)		 REDD+ National Strategy (ENAREDD+) and the Strategic Plan of the Policies for Forests of Panama. – 227 participants, July – November 2012. Encounter with the leaders from the Buglé region, 120 participants, February 2013 It is not possible to estimate until what point this has advanced because the REDD+ National Strategy has not been elaborated. 	the Program's unrealistic level of ambition.	
1.4. One system for payment and distribution of benefits was validated and made operational	One system for payment and distribution of benefits was completed and validated at a national level for strategy for 2012	MS	 Several workshops and studies have been organized to evaluate the multiple benefits of the forest resources and estimate the costs of opportunity: Analysis July 2012: "Evaluation of Forest Resources in Panama" "Estimate the costs of opportunity for REDD", 2 regional workshops (Bocas del Toro, Santiago), June 2012 Workshops for the "Identification and Prioritization of the Multiple Foreseen Benefits of the Forests of Panama as a Planning Tool for the REDD+ Strategy", September 2012 A series of maps and settings have been elaborated identifying the multiple benefits of the forest and the evaluation of the costs of opportunity. (2013) 	Several important maps and settings to illustrate and analyze the potential of REDD+ in Panama that until now have not been shared with the participants in the REDD+ National Round Table due to the suspension of the same. It is estimated that there is little probability that a payment and distribution strategy can be agreed upon until the end of 2014.	MI
	Operative units to facilitate and monitor the equal distribution	1	To the moment no activities for this product have been contemplated.	It is estimated that there is Little possibility that there shall be an operative unit until the end of 2014.	

Docult 2: Tochn	established in 2012 Agreement between the relevant actors in respect to the mechanism, payments, and the evaluation methodology of the costs and distribution of benefits.	I	To the moment no activities for this product have been contemplated. erify the reduction of the emissions of deforestat	It is believed that there is little possibility of obtaining agreements on the mechanisms and methodology of an operative unit until the end of 2014.	
2.1. A national forests and carbon inventory and monitoring system	National system designed in 2012	HS	 A general design for a national system of inventory and forest and carbon monitoring has been elaborated with the following elements: A new national map of forest coverage; An inventory of greenhouse gases, a system remote based sensing system for monitoring the soil and the changes in the use of the soil and forest categories; A national forest and carbon inventory; An inventory of greenhouse gases There has been an advance with the following activities: System for the classification of coverage and the use of the soil and definitions of the elaborated and approved categories Map of the forest coverage and the use of the soil and carbon inventory and initiated implementation of pilot inventory 		S

- A pilot project for forest and carbon inventory and monitoring	S	 Several advances have been made towards a pilot inventory: The design of the pilot inventory Elaboration of the field manual Initiation of a collection of data of the pilot inventory. Therefore, what have been listed as activities and progress under the indicator labeled "methods for the estimation of biomass and carbon" better corresponds to a pilot inventory. Once we have the information of the pilot inventory, a final design of the inventory shall be elaborated including the necessary adjustments in the field manual. 	Good advances have been achieved in the design of the national forest inventory. The pilot inventory will provide information for the final design adjustments, such as time and cost data, and variability of different forest characteristics, taking into account the available timeframe and budget.	
- Number of local indigenous actors and other capacitated relevant actors for 2012 in the inventory, monitoring, and forest and carbon evaluation	MS	Workshops on "National Inventory of forests and carbon" and "System of classification of definitions of coverage and the use of the land" and "Satellite system of land monitoring" carried out with a total of 248 participants from ANAM, central and regional, the University of Panama, companies, forest organizations and technicians, and indigenous companies/organizations for their involvement in the monitoring.	Technicians have been trained to carry out the inventory but activities are currently suspended.	
Methods for the estimation of biomass and carbon elaborated in 2012	MI	Training of ANAM personnel in the elaboration and application of allometric equations and the development of a system for the processing of inventory data.	Good advances have been made in the design of the national forest inventory but it is still lacking to define the methodology and focus and to make it adequate to the temporary frame and available budget.	
Analyzed and available results on forest biomass and carbon in 2012.	HS	A Geo-portal Web to visualize and share information generated by the monitoring system is in process	The Geo-portal shall make available all the material elaborated for inventory and forest and carbon monitoring to the Panamanian society, and this shall have multiple uses and functions.	

2.2.Establish a setting of the referenced emissions	Base line established for 2012	HS	A forest map in process shall serve as a base line to monitor changes in the forest coverage. Generation of an initial setting for 20 years indicating the levels of deforestation and changes in the use of the land.	The forest map as a base line for monitoring the changes is in the process of completion (last foreseen in 2013). An initial setting is being generated for 20 years indicating the levels of deforestation and changes in the use of the land.	S
	Number of relevant trained actors in the design of emission settings of reference (the goal shall be defined during the start-up phase of the project)	S	Workshops for analysts, technicians, and specialists in SIG and other relevant themes of production of maps April 2012 – January 2013.	The work was carried out in conjunction with ANAM so there are installed capacities	
	Emissions setting for reference defined for 2012.	S	Induction to the REL/RL theme	The methodology for the evaluation of historic deforestation has been developed but pending implementation, nevertheless, it is estimated that 90% of the required work to establish a setting for emissions has been completed.	
2.3.Anaccountingsystemforcarbonand	An accounting system for carbon defined for 2013	MI	Activities foreseen for the last phase of the Program	Until now, direct work had not been contemplated for this product, but there are advances in regard to analysis inputs and definition of an accounting system for carbon.	МІ
the generation of emission information	- National Unit of GEI established and functioning in 2013.	МІ	Activities foreseen for the last phase of the Program	Until now, direct work had not been contemplated for this product, but there are advances in regard to analysis inputs and definition of an accounting system for carbon.	

3.2. Observations regarding specific products

3.2.1. Legal Framework

One of the early products completed by the NJP is an analysis of the legal framework for the implementation of REDD+ in Panama. The study will serve as a basis for discussions on the legal framework of REDD+ and carbon rights. The study was prepared in June 2011 by an attorney contracted by UNEP.

During the process, coordination was maintained with COONAPIP through periodic meetings while broader dissemination and debate was to be carried out through the REDD+ National Round Table, which is still pending.

The study reveals that "the rights associated with carbon will create greater challenges since they, according to the law (forestry), belong to the state forest heritage even though they may be in territories of the region (Comarca)" (Recio, 2011: 10). The initial discussions between ANAM and COONAPIP revealed conflicting visions so it was decided to relegate to other political and legal entities, and a preliminary consensus was reached to focus the work of the NJP to ensure that the potential benefits of REDD+ flow into the communities. With the suspension of the REDD+ National Round Table, it has not yet been possible to elevate this discussion to a national level although it is a crucial element for the elaboration of the National REDD+ Strategy.

3.2.2. Strategy for payment and distribution of benefits

The work aimed at defining a system of payment and benefit sharing has been carried out between ANAM and UNEP, with contributions from FAO and UNDP, and several partners specialized in economics, modeling, multiple benefits etc. that have been contracted as external consultants.

The main products are studies, maps, and scenarios that identify the direct and indirect causes of deforestation (mining, highways etc.), the key tendencies (indicating the probability of cutting trees, additional activities, etc.), the policies against deforestation, the multiple benefits of the forest (biodiversity, water resources, erosion control etc.), and the opportunity costs per ton of carbon (showing, for example, the REDD+ potential of changing practices such as planting coffee plants in shaded areas, grass with trees, and reconversion to agriculture). FAO has supported converting these scenarios into emissions scenarios, with which it is possible to estimate emissions baselines and projections for REDD+ inputs.

The work has required the development of new methodologies that have even generated interest internationally (these have served as examples for similar work in Ecuador and Paraguay and were internationally presented by ANAM in June of 2013 at an event on REDD+ in the green economy). For example, the deforestation map was developed on the

basis of 15 determinants and enriched through interviews with experts, decision-makers, and social sectors. Also, field workshops were carried out with multiple actors to detect the most important transformations by region etc.

The products are in the process of being completed but have not yet been presented to the public. The plan was to present these mainly as technical inputs to the sub-table on economic matters within the REDD+ National Round Table. Furthermore, there was the intention to create a Geo-Portal (that will also include a forest coverage map) that would make the primary data and the interactive maps available to the public. Both activities are now suspended.

The Team believes that these innovative and high quality products constitute highly valuable tools for analysis, decision-making and national planning on issues related to REDD+, forest management, green economy, agricultural development, and other matters. Therefore, it should be a priority in the communication strategy of the NJP to ensure that these inputs are available and discussed, at the technical and academic levels, as well as at the political level in multi-sectoral contexts.

3.2.3. Map of forest coverage

The development of a map of forest coverage is not included within the framework of expected results but considering that the last forest map of Panama (2008) was not of satisfactory quality, ANAM and FAO decided to develop a new map. The technical discussions to define parameters and subjects took a year, a process that had the support of FAO headquarters because ANAM did not have the internal capacity for the monitoring. Furthermore, the acquisition of images was a long process that delayed the work. Nevertheless, the work is quite advanced, and the map will be ready for digital publication at the beginning of 2014.

Like the maps and data on deforestation and opportunity costs, the forest coverage map is a product of much relevance and importance for the country; the dissemination and availability of the data must be a priority within the communications strategy of the NJP (also through the intended Geo-Portal). Satellite images have been purchased with a government license (which implies that these can be used by other government agencies) and with an investment of USD 20-30,000, they could be used by local governments, including indigenous congresses. This is an opportunity that must be disseminated as an element of the communication strategy.

3.2.4. National forest inventory

The last forest inventory of Panama dates back to 1972, so the elaboration of a new inventory generates much interest and expectations for the country. FAO and ANAM have developed a general design for a national system for forest and carbon inventory, using a methodology developed by FAO. Furthermore, forest companies (indigenous and non-indigenous) have been trained to pilot the methodology, but, due to the suspension of activities, these companies were not contracted.

The methodology yields not only data that is focused on carbon but also high-quality data on forest categories, floristic biodiversity, soil etc. Therefore, the proposed inventory will be a multipurpose product for multiple sectors and stakeholders in the country, but it also requires a lot of resources for its completion (which exceeds the available budget of the NJP) and for regular updating.

There are also considerations about whether the proposed methodology requires external technical assistance, and if it is possible to opt for a methodology at the sub-national level that involves local actors, indigenous peoples, and other actors to a greater degree, without losing compatibility with the national inventory in terms of categories, definitions and measurement procedures. At the moment there is no agreement with GIZ-funded project in the basin of the Canal to use a methodology linked to the national, but they are working on harmonizing the definitions and measurement procedures. Failure to achieve compatibility between methodologies applied at national and local levels would entail a risk to sustainability. Thus there are several considerations regarding the completion and sustainability of this product (see section 8.1.3.).

3.2.5. REDD+ National Round Table

The REDD+ National Round Table was designed as a catalyzing element in terms of technical inputs and multiple actors for the elaboration of the REDD+ National Strategy in Panama. In the NJP design, the Round Table was conceptualized as a temporary instance of the NJP to be replaced by the National REDD+ Committee (CONAREDD) that would have the function of coordinating the implementation of the Strategy.

The National Round Table met twice (September and December 2012) and brought together 65 and 79 people respectively, from the public sector, international cooperation, indigenous peoples, academia and civil society. The various sub-tables met with varying frequency and dynamics until the suspension of activities in March of 2013. The sub-tables also served as instances for training of members on several themes.

ANAM convenes the Round Table's meetings, but it does not have a formalized institutionalism. This in turn weakens the Round Table's potential to mainstream REDD+ into broader national policies and to be a stage for debate and the coordination of all the REDD+ initiatives in the country (USAID, FCPF and GIZ).

The importance of the National Round Table is evident as a central axis to develop the National Strategy and link REDD+ inputs and technical themes with all the relevant actors, including governmental bodies, indigenous peoples, civil society, academia etc. Therefore, the Team believes that ANAM could take control of the REDD+ National Round Table in a more institutionalized manner as a flexible national platform - open to the emerging REDD+ processes and all the other relevant actors.

4. Stakeholder Engagement

4.1. History of stakeholder engagement

In May of 2009, COONAPIP reported a lack of consultation and participation of indigenous peoples in the process of the formulation of the ANAM proposal for FCPF and UN-REDD. In June of 2009, the proposal presented to ANAM was conditioned by the UN-REDD Policy Board because it was not duly consulted and validated. This led the Resident Coordinator of the UN system in Panama to send a letter to the MEF indicating that the proposal should adhere to the guidelines and procedures established by UN-REDD (including the Operational Guidelines for the Participation of Indigenous Peoples and Other Forest-Dependent Communities).

Subsequently, the UN agencies, in agreement with ANAM, hired six COONAPIP technical staff who worked for 3 weeks (September-October 2009) on the review and validation of the UN-REDD proposal. Specifically, they prepared inputs to be attached directly to the NJP as results and activities and three attachments to take into consideration in the process. During this process, a meeting for consultation and validation was organized with the traditional authorities that made up COONAPIP that defined the 19 points as the *"principles of execution of the UN-REDD Programme in Panama"*. The final validation of the proposal between UNS, ANAM, and COONAPIP was signed on the 13th of October of 2009. The signed document suggests adding the attachments elaborated by COONAPIP to the Programme Document, but this was not formalized. In October of 2009, the Policy Board of the UN-REDD Programme approved the NJP with a budget of US \$5.3 million Dollars.

Two documents of regulations/guidelines applicable to UN-REDD were in force in 2009:

The UN-REDD Programme Rules of Procedure and Operational Guidance⁸, that states, among other things, the need to validate the Programme Document as part of a continuous consultative process, to ensure government ownership and the involvement of civil society actors. The Rules of Procedure specifically mention the need to have evidence of consultations with the indigenous peoples and indicate that the Programmes shall be evaluated based on the sense of ownership by the governments and the civil society actors and the level of consultation, participation, and involvement.

The Operational Guidelines: The participation of the indigenous peoples and other forestdependent communities stipulate, among other things, that:

- The indigenous peoples will be represented in the Executive Committees or other similar bodies;
- The consultation and social responsibility strategy of the National Programme should, effectively, include Indigenous Peoples and other forest-dependent

⁸ UN-REDD Programme Rules of Procedure and Operational Guidance; March 2009.

communities and civil society organizations at all stages, including the design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of the programmes. (page 11).

• A mapping of the organizations, authorities, and institutions of the indigenous peoples should be carried out; articulate traditional authorities with indigenous organizations with technical ability; work in an open and inclusive way and be attentive to any conflicts that may arise (page 16).

The conditions established for the approval of the NJP by the Policy Board of UN-REDD in June of 2009 indicate that the Regulations of the UN-REDD Programme served as a safeguard to ensure the consultation and validation of the indigenous peoples at the beginning of the process. The Team also has the impression that the initial validation of COONAPIP of the NJP is a reflection of the initial sense of ownership of the Programme by the indigenous authorities and a justified aspiration to be a key player in the implementation of their activities. There is no doubt that validation by COONAPIP and their participation in the presentation to the Policy Board were determining factors for the approval of the Programme.

In this sense, the NJP met with the formal requirement stated in the UN-REDD Regulations to obtain validation of the proposal. Nevertheless, it should be questioned if the review process of the NJP document by numerous and geographically dispersed indigenous peoples that lasted only 3 weeks is enough to guarantee the legitimacy and the quality needed for the validation of a Programme of this nature. In this manner, in the UN-REDD guidelines, it is evident that the validation meeting is an important milestone that marks the <u>beginning</u> of a broad process of consultation that should be carried out (and partly funded) during the <u>implementation</u>.

Generally speaking, the personnel of the UN-REDD agencies express their concern regarding the difficulties of applying the "ideal" guidelines to fit the complex realities on the ground and the need to focus more on the systematization of the experiences and lessons learned that arise from the practical operational experiences.

Nor is there evidence that other civil society organizations or representatives of the Afrodescendant communities participated in this consultation and validation process of the proposal. Even though the formal requirement of the validation was fulfilled, no profound and broad analysis of the partners was carried out, and the consultation and participation were not conceptualized as a continuous and multifaceted process.

The Team considers that the expedited process of including the COONAPIP's concerns and priorities in the design of the Program is one of the main reasons for the later conflict, since the absence of well-defined frameworks and modalities led to a variety of interpretations that were often contradictory, and expectations that were not consensual among the parties.

For example, the indigenous authorities had an aspiration of good faith that broader matters would be taken into account about territories and the promotion of Agreement No. 169 etc., based on the 19 points and the 3 attachments identified in the validation process. Nevertheless, from the review to the validation of the initial Programme Document, it seems that the relationship with the indigenous peoples was taken up by UN agencies, with ANAM in a secondary role. Therefore, it was not clear the extent to which the Panamanian State had a clear commitment to the 19 points established by COONAPIP, many of which require political will from the government in order to be realized.

4.2. Representation of Indigenous Peoples

From the beginning, COONAPIP was recognized by the NJP as the legitimate representative of the indigenous peoples of Panama. Also, in the document review phase, the indigenous authorities of the 11 territories established that: *"COONAPIP will be the National Indigenous Board for the communication and coordination for the activities in indigenous areas"*⁹.

COONAPIP is comprised of eleven indigenous territorial authorities¹⁰ of Panama, but according to their own practices and customs and in agreement with the international regulations, each people has the right to self-determination. Also, each group has its own internal consultation procedures and makes its own decisions, which often involve holding assemblies and conferences. This implies the need of defining with much precision and attentiveness the roles and competence of COONAPIP as a national entity and the eleven authorities as territorial entities. In 2009, COONAPIP had just restructured its organization and because of that, it had no clear formal definition – or operational experiences - to precisely determine its competences, roles, and responsibilities regarding the political representation and the technical implementation in relation to the territorial authorities. It is worth mentioning that the Panamanian State tends to work directly with the group of territorial authorities and not with COONAPIP as their spokesperson.

The UN-REDD agencies identified COONAPIP as the representative authority of the indigenous peoples based on the criteria set forth in the Operational Regulations of the UN-REDD Programme, but there was no deep analysis or mapping made of the indigenous authorities and organizations and their technical capacity to implement the programmes, nor of the possible conflicts, or internal challenges. Nor was an analysis made of the other civil society organizations.

This apparent initial omission by NJP and the lack of internal explanations of COONAPIP's roles and its constituents has had serious consequences for the implementation of the

⁹ See "Final Report of the Elaboration of a Reference Framework concerning the Participation of the Indigenous Peoples of the Republic of Panama in the Context of the Proposal of the UN-REDD in Panama", COONAPIP, October 12th of 2009.

¹⁰ The General Congress of the Wounaan has decided to temporarily withdraw from COONAPIP.

NJP. In this context, NJP has been accused both of creating divisions amongst the indigenous peoples by responding to the requests of territorial authorities of the indigenous peoples, and of ignoring the legitimate representatives of the indigenous peoples by working through COONAPIP. Also, the internal differences have apparently contributed to the weakening and fragmentation of COONAPIP with several Congresses expressing their disagreement with COONAPIP's position toward the NJP.

Some representatives of civil society and advisors of the indigenous peoples have insisted that the dissident opinions regarding the COONAPIP were actually requested by the personnel that worked for UN-REDD. The Team has not found evidence to independently verify these claims, and the Team has the impression that the problem lies in the fact that contradictions arise between the territorial representative bodies and the national representative body, composed by the same territorial authorities.

Given this situation, the Team considers it appropriate that the external, national, and international elements respect the diversity of the institutions of the indigenous peoples and that they support, to the extent needed and requested, the indigenous institutions at a territorial and national level to internally resolve the definition of their roles, responsibilities, and competences before the State and the international cooperation.

4.3. Participation of the Indigenous Peoples

4.3.1. Participation of the Indigenous Peoples

The NJP Document established that COONAPIP "shall be responsible for the activities in the preparation phase of the UN-REDD Programme in indigenous territories" (Ibid), but for that "the consultation and implementation of the national strategy of REDD+ should have the support of the Indigenous Congresses" (page 38). The Document reflects certain ambiguity regarding COONAPIP's role since it was not clear if COONAPIP had a role regarding communication and coordination, or if it would be directly responsible for the activities in the territories.

The discussion about the roles has been tied to the discussion of the possible modalities for the channeling of funds to COONAPIP. The NJP acknowledges COONAPIP as the spokesperson and representative of the indigenous peoples, but COONAPIP has no formal legal status, which would be an administrative-legal requirement to receive public funds from international cooperation. Until June of 2012, it was thought that COONAPIP was going to request legal status (with the financial support of the NJP), but in June, COONAPIP informed that it would not take this path since it was going to be obligated to assume the role of an NGO, a legal structure that was not consistent with its role as a representative of the indigenous peoples

The subject of disagreement has been the thematic scope and the budget allocation for the work to be carried out with the indigenous peoples. Supported by the NJP, COONAPIP worked between November of 2010 and August of 2011 on drafting Statues, a Procedures

Manual and a Strategic Policy Advocacy Plan (PEIP) that contains a detailed proposal concerning the indigenous participation in the preparation of REDD+ and includes broad governance themes related to the territories and natural resources. Shortly after the validation of PEIP by the indigenous authorities, the long and unsuccessful discussions amongst COONAPIP and UN-REDD began, concerning which PEIP components should be part of the NJP results framework. Related to the discussion of the thematic scope of the work done with the indigenous peoples, the discussion about the budget to be allocated to the activities arose since the NJP did not have a defined budget framework before supporting COONAPIP to enter into the PEIP formulation process. COONAPIP's aspiration was that the PEIP be totally financed (\$1.7 million dollars) while the NJP indicated that it knew that several of the elements of a strategic plan could be beyond the programme's scope of action. The final UN-REDD "offer" after long and unsuccessful discussions was for USD 300,000.

Until now, it has not been defined what would be the ideal mechanism so that COONAPIP could function as a manager of international cooperation funds, nor has an agreement been reached concerning the issues to work on or the required budget. The intended collaboration with the NJP did not materialize. Furthermore, COONAPIP was not able to have its own technical team that would allow it to participate or contribute fully to the process related to REDD+.

COONAPIP's participation began in a spirit of good faith, but to the extent that the collaboration did not materialize, relations began to deteriorate. This was further aggravated by the absence of defined frameworks for issues, budgets, and collaboration modalities. All of this has resulted in a failure of dialogue, at an institutional as well as at a personal level, and apparently there is no longer trust in the good faith of the parties involved. This, at the same time, has had negative consequences for the traditional authorities that provided the legitimacy and support to the Programme from the beginning but have not been able to meet the communities' expectations.

At the margins of the failed collaboration with COONAPIP, there has been participation of indigenous leaders at the two meetings of REDD+'s National Round Tables and the sub-tables. Until now there has been no participation of the indigenous peoples in the Executive Committee. According to ANAM, the participation of the indigenous peoples and other relevant elements in this Committee is a pending matter.

4.3.2. Participation of the Afro-descendent communities

The Afro-descendent communities are located in the Darien Provence, where 19 communities represent 25% of the population. The communities are located in the buffer zones of the National Park of Darien where they practice a rotation farming system. The communities do not have the legalization of their collective lands, and some of them are found inside the indigenous regions. The Coordinator of the Black Communities of Darien does not have a legal status. The Coordinator participated in the NJP since the first meeting of the REDD+ National Round Table in September of 2012. Since then, it

participated actively due to the relevance of REDD+'s subject matter for their forestdependent communities, and with the expectations that REDD+ could contribute to forest conservation, reforestation control, allocation of lands, and the development of economic alternatives.

The Coordinator regrets the suspension the PNC activities and is still waiting for an answer to the requests presented before the PNC to disseminate information through the radio and to carry out three training sessions in the Black communities.

4.3.3. Participation of civil society institutions and organizations

At the beginning, it was thought that COONAPIP could handle all of the consultation, participation, training, and communication processes with the indigenous peoples and that from the NJP coordination processes with other non-indigenous sectors could be organized with the support of the Regional UNDP. During 2012, this decision was re-evaluated and at the end of 2012, specialized consultants were hired for communication and for REDD+'s National Round Table. In 2013, UNDP carried out a consultancy for the Creation of Environmental Scenarios that includes a mapping and an analysis of relevant elements in Panama for REDD+. Recently in June of 2013, a consultant was hired for the subject of stakeholder consultation and participation in preparation for REDD+ Panama, as a result of a selection process that began mid-2012.

The participation of the organizations of the civil society has been mainly in three areas:

- 1) The participation of some international institutions in the elaboration of specific products; for example, in the Water Center for the Humid Tropics of Latin America and the Caribbean (CATHALAC) with offices in Panama, has participated in the elaboration of the scenarios for deforestation and opportunity costs.
- 2) Alliances with some academic institutions; for example, the unit on Panama of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI) contributed to induction workshops concerning the topic of REDD+ for ANAM personnel at the beginning of the preparation process of the Programme. A discussion session was also carried out at the Universidad Tecnológica, and the support of ANAM and NJP staff have been an instrumental factor for the establishment of a forestry engineering program at the university.
- 3) The participation of civil society organizations in the REDD+ National Round Table and technical sub-tables. This activity had just begun to gather momentum when the activities were suspended in March of 2013.

4.4. Communication with stakeholders

The development of a communication strategy is seen as an indicative activity in the results framework, and Attachment 4 of the Programme Document provides some somewhat confusing elements and with objectives that are too broad for national awareness. At the beginning of the NJP, ANAM elaborated some materials for general publication, but there has not been a communication strategy concerning NJP's technical

products and several organizations of civil and academic societies do not have more information about the products that are in process even though there is the intention to establish a Geo-Portal that will make the products available to the public.

At the same time, it was planned to include all communication with indigenous peoples in the collaboration with COONAPIP, which now implies that several of the Indigenous Congresses and Councils have no knowledge of NJP since the collaboration failed.

It wasn't until the end of 2012 that a consultant was hired through UNDP to work on the communication and dissemination issue more systematically. The communication strategy that has now been elaborated is conceptualized in two phases:

The first phase (October 2012-June 2013 in process of implementation) focuses on a general dissemination of the topics related to forests and REDD, for example, through participation in fairs, messages publicized on the radio etc. Together with ANAM, work is underway to launch a web page about REDD+. Another element of this phase is the strengthening of the internal communication (establishment of a dropbox), corporate image, and the NJP's visibility (definition of unified messaging, graphic design etc.) To optimize the resources of a limited budget, the consultant shows creativity in seeking opportunities for communication through social media and other free channels and is building alliances with other actors that can reinforce the messages, for example, working with the Ministry of Education so that in the professional development of teachers, ANAM can communicate information concerning REDD+. The budget assigned for this phase is for \$50,000 USD.

The second phase (foreseen for October of 2013 until the end of the NJP) is conceptualized to implement the communication strategy about the REDD+ National Strategy to be carried out in the communication sub-table of the REDD+ National Round Table. This conceptualization assumes that the National Strategy will end by October of 2013, which is an assumption of low probability, because it did not foresee the suspension of activities since March 2013, among other factors.

The most systematic work of communication started very late and has been limited by the suspension of activities. Great improvements have been evident since the consultant entered, but the Team is under the impression that there is a need to refocus the strategy considering that:

- There is no clarity in the process or the temporary framework required for the finalization of REDD+'s National Strategy;
- The assigned budget for the implementation of the communication strategy is very limited;
- The NJP is generating very important products for the national analysis and monitoring of forests and possible REDD+ strategies have not been communicated to the relevant actors.

Based on these considerations, the Team notes the need for reorientation of the communication strategy so that it is more focused on the products generated by NJP and to reinforce the cohesion of the Programme. This also implies the need for communications to be a task for everyone, with the specialist taking on the role of advisor to all of the actors involved.

4.5. Capacity-building

A crucial element of the NJP is to strengthen the sectoral capacities, at several levels, for REDD+.

Between 2011 and 2012, several information and training workshops on REDD+ were carried out with ANAM officials and a series of regional workshops with local governments, community leaders, and ANAM officials in 2012. The component regarding the strengthening of the capacities of the indigenous people did not start, since it was seen as an element of COONAPIP's collaboration.

The installed capacities at ANAM and some of the partners involved in the development of the analysis and monitoring products was a positive element. For example, the work being done with the map of forest coverage and the scenarios have generated national and institutional capacity in the technical staff of ANAM.

Some elements of civil society such as the NGO ODESCA and the Coordinator of the Black Communities expressed to the Team their interest in collaborating with the NJP regarding the training. For example, ODESCA was a partner of a previous joint Program concerning climate changes and formed a group of 60 community promoters that could also work as communication, consulting, and training agents regarding REDD+.

The results framework stipulates that a national training program would be defined in 2010. However, it was not until the end of 2012 that they hired UNDP's Online School to do a participatory assessment to *"better understand the context of REDD+ in Panama, as well as the characteristics, needs, and concerns of the priority populations about this issue"*. Based on this diagnosis, the Online School was in the process of developing training modules for the training of various key stakeholders such as indigenous peoples, rural communities, officials, local authorities etc., but with the suspension of activities, this work has not progressed.

4.6. Observations with regards participation, consultation, communication, and construction of capacities

Despite COONAPIP's active initial participation and many other efforts of COONAPIP and the NJP, no formal or institutionalized mechanisms have been established to ensure the full and effective participation of the indigenous peoples. Another weakness originating from the design of NJP is that it did not define with adequate precision the roles, responsibilities, and competencies amongst the agencies of the United Nations and the Panamanian Government Authorities regarding the participation and the priorities of the indigenous peoples in the context of the Programme. The withdrawal of COONAPIP from the NJP in February of 2013 can, to a great extent, be explained by the previous failure to concretize and operationalize the commitments and initial expectations generated for full and effective participation of the indigenous peoples in the NJP.

The REDD+ National Round Table with the sub-tables started late, but was an important and promising national space of participation that unfortunately was suspended in March of 2013. Besides this space, the participation of other partners and sectors in the NJP has been relatively weak.

In 2012-2013, three communication, participation and consulting consultants were contracted. This has been a positive step, but the consultants joined in a period in which the conflict level and the cancellation of the activities seriously limit their work. Since the beginning of the NJP, there lacked a proactive conceptualization of strategies for consultation, participation, communication, and capacity building, as intrinsically interrelated and continuous processes. The weak progress in these matters also harms the usefulness and sustainability of the technical products for analysis and monitoring; obliges the personnel to work retroactively; affects the cohesion among the components and of the NJP itself, and limits national ownership of the process.

Since the design, there has been a tendency to conceptualize the preparation process of REDD+ as a series of well-defined sequences or stages that, to the extent that it has not progressed as expected, have turned into barriers for progress in other matters. For example, since the strengthening plan for the COONAPIP did not start, the indigenous peoples have not been informed about the NJP; since the National REDD+ Strategy has terminated, a communication strategy has not been designed concerning the technical products, and no thought has gone to the sustainability of the REDD+ National Round Table since it was contemplated as a temporary authority until the adoption of the Strategy. Also, there has been no flexible answer for the expressions of interest and initiatives from several sectors and institutions. As the preparation process for REDD+ comprises many assumptions that have not been proven, it would be advisable to think of more flexible and dynamic strategies built in a more participatory way and gathering local initiatives that could consolidate partial and more immediate results.

5. Adaptive Management

5.1. Steering committee and other decision making bodies

The description of the decision-making bodies in the Programme Document is somewhat confusing. The document indicates that it establishes a National REDD+ Committee to provide operational coordination, coordinate activities at a national level and integrate the REDD+ National Strategy into national planning processes (Programme Document, page 37). The text of the Document does not elaborate further the composition of the REDD+ National Committee, but in the Executive Summary (page 7), it mentions that the Committee shall be made up of "government agents and representatives of civil society and indigenous groups who shall fulfil the tasks of providing the strategic guidelines, guarantee the participation of key agents, and the follow-up of the actions scheduled for the preparation phase".

At the beginning of 2012, the high level Steering Committee was established composed of representatives of the UN agencies, ANAM, and MEF. The Steering Committee has had 3 meetings to date. The minutes reflect that it is an important space regarding the review of advances and for discussions and gaining approval for work plans and budgets and for the problems that arise in implementation. The Committee was also instrumental in pushing to increase the execution of NJP in 2012. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that inside the complex structure of UN-REDD as a global program made up of agencies with their own governance structures, and with its own Policy Board and Secretariat, the Steering Committee is not necessarily the maximum authority in the decision-making. This is reflected in the fact that the decision for suspending activities in March of 2013 was taken by a troika of officials from UNDP, UNEP, and the UN-REDD Secretariat that visited Panama to get to know about the COONAPIP withdrawal from the NJP. Until now, the Steering Committee has not met to discuss this decision.

It would have been considered that COONAPIP could participate in the Steering Committee as an observer, but this participation has not yet taken place. According to ANAM, the participation of the Indigenous peoples and other relevant agents in the Committee is a pending task. The Steering Committee has discussed the situation with COONAPIP at its three meetings but without the participation of the COONAPIP, the Committee has not been able to play a direct role in mediation or conflict resolution.

However, in September of 2012, a High Level Commission was established at the request of COONAPIP to attend to the problems and obstacles of the Programme. Then, a Technical Committee established by the High Level Commission met 4 times between September of 2012 and January 2013, but the work of the Committee was not delivered to the High Level Commission because in February of 2013, COONAPIP withdrew from the Programme.

5.2. Coordination Mechanisms

The formal spaces of coordination of the NJP are the Steering Committee and the biweekly coordination meetings that include ANAM, the agencies, and the Coordination Unit of the Programme. Internationally, the NJP, through the agency leader (UNEP), is related with the Policy Board and the Secretariat of UN-REDD. However the requirements and informal spaces of coordination, communication and decision making are much more complex than those visualized in the formal organizational charts; this constitutes a challenge and a great effort by the personnel involved.

The NJP directly involved three UN agencies and ANAM in the execution plus a Coordination Unit with two co-coordinators (an ANAM coordinator and another hired by the NJP). Each one of the agencies and ANAM has its own structures and mechanisms for decision-making and coordination that in the case of the agencies involve regional and global levels and in the case of ANAM, the provincial and local levels. On the technical side, the officials of the three agencies confirm to have received important inputs from their headquarters or specialized programmes; for example, UNDP has worked with the UNDP Online School and UNEP and FAO have coordinated technical inputs for monitoring and economic aspects of REDD with the headquarters.

Additionally, UN-REDD has its own structure for decision-making and coordination (Policy Board and Secretariat) that refers to the donors and decision-making structures and agency coordination. The Coordination Unit administratively depends on the three agencies that administer the budgets that finance the various consultants and activities. Another level of coordination exists between the officials and consultants that are directly involved in the development of the different NJP products. Also, at a national level, the NJP has to coordinate with a broad range of government and civil society partners and actors, and with the 11 territorial authorities, and the national authority of the Indigenous peoples.

Another dimension is that UN-REDD in general, and the NJP of Panama in particular, has caught the attention of numerous NGOs, researchers, and other actors of the regional and international civil society - many of them with their own particular interests or concerns. These actors have their own channels of communication with stakeholders involved in UN-REDD at a national, regional, or international level.

The agencies involved in Panama already had previous experiences with a joint program that were applied as lessons learned for the NJP. For example, the officials already knew the particulars of each agency, the different philosophies, orientation, and administrative procedures, and they were more aware of the challenges and the importance of not taking the matter of coordination lightly. Therefore, the officials in charge worked together from the beginning and established a Coordination Unit even though it was not regulated or specified in the Program. Even so, all the parties involved point out that the coordination is time-consuming. The Annual Report of 2012 identifies as challenges "the long time periods that were taking place within the program team, the procedures for the decision making, definition of the activities, definition and approval of TOR's, the approvals for hiring, and other administrative aspects" and that "the ANAM internal processes were generating delays, some substantial, for the way in which the activities should be defined and approved, which require the approval of the highest ANAM authorities, independently of the magnitude and impact of the proposed activities". The Team has the impression that these factors are not necessarily taken into consideration in the allocation of the human resources or in the definition of the temporary framework.

The Team notes that the formal coordination offices are many more than those visible in the NJP organizational chart, since it only shows the offices established by the same NJP. Parallel to this, the officials involved have to respond to many institutionalized offices, horizontal as well as vertical, for coordination and decision-making. These offices are particular for each actor and are not necessarily synchronized in the coordination and decision making processes. Added to this are the informal offices. The Team is under the impression that this complexity is very demanding in terms of human resources and time, and that it requires flexibility and much effort on behalf of the personnel, and that in a great measure, makes the program work through informal relations and spaces.

5.2.1. Coordination Unit

The NJP Coordinator was hired on July of 2011 with the responsibility to ensure the implementation, coordination, integration, and monitoring of the execution of the NJP. Also, ANAM assigned an official as a coordinator. The formal relation between the two coordinators is not clear, but in practice, the two officials coordinate and distribute the tasks and responsibilities between them.

At first, it was thought that the Coordination Unit was going to be more formal, functioning as a sub-office of ANAM, but until now the Unit has not been formalized. According to the modality of direct execution by the agencies, the budget is managed by the three agencies, and the Unit has no financial control.

Most of the personnel of the UN-REDD office are technical staff, amongst which most are the interpreters of the satellite images for the mapping of the forest coverage. Some of them are consultants hired according to the procedures of each agency and others are officials of ANAM, delegates of the NJP. This implies that the personnel of the Unit depend on four different institutions, each one with its different monitoring, evaluation, procedures, salaries, etc. There are also consultants that work from the agencies' offices, which make the programming and follow-up more difficult for the coordinators.

Due to the direct execution modality, many of the functions of an implementation unit remain in the agencies. The Coordination Unit, according to the terms of reference of the coordinator, has responsibilities regarding the implementation, coordination, integration,

and monitoring of the execution, but actually it has limited authority. The Team is under the impression that the Unit meets many of the centralized coordination functions, but because of the financial, administrative, coordination, and decision-making dependencies, the agencies play a more direct role in the implementation than what is visible.

5.3. Planning work

The annual planning of the work and budget plans through the Steering Committee constitutes the macro roadmap of the NJP. The micro-planning is based on the processes and coordination meetings between the officials of the agencies, ANAM, and the Coordination Unit. The technical staff and the consultants who were hired develop their work plans based on their terms of reference. At the end of 2012, UNDP hired a consultant to the UN-REDD office to reinforce the planning and follow-up of the NJP.

In general, due to the weaknesses in the design of the NJP, the results framework has not been used directly as a guide for the implementation. Due to the complexity of the decision-making processes, none of the required changes to the framework have been done. For some specific products like the National REDD+ Strategy, a specific roadmap was developed.

5.5. Personnel

Each agency has designated payroll staff to the NJP, as focal points or coordinators. Formally, they dedicate between 15-20% of their time to the program, but in reality the time they are dedicating varies between 20-50%.

For FAO, the focal point has dedicated 50% of his/her time to the NJP and also a full time person has been hired for coordination and management, coordinating the actions of FAO within the programme and managing the contracts of the consultants working on the mapping of forest coverage etc. In addition, FAO has designated technical focal points at headquarters to attend to the national programmes in Latin America. One is specialist in monitoring and has participated in several meetings and working sessions with the FAO and ANAM technical team.

In the case of the UNDP office in Panama, the environment focal point dedicated 20-30% of their time to the NJP. UNDP Panama did not hire a particular person for the NJP, but the focal point is supported by the regional team. The UNDP regional team (2 people, a regional adviser and an expert in stakeholder engagement) serves various purposes, for the 14 member countries of UN-REDD in Latin America, and also in the 4 countries of the region where UNDP is the Implementing Partner for the FCPF. Among the roles are:

- Link between UN-REDD Secretariat and country teams (government, UN System staff and other partners) and observers of the Policy Board of indigenous peoples and civil society at the regional level.

- Technical/political advisement to the UN-REDD countries (14 in the region), responses to ad hoc requests from the countries
- Assessment, monitoring and quality control during the design and implementation of national programs and special supports
- Contribution to the coordination and articulation between agencies and other REDD readiness initiatives at regional level in the different countries
- Promoting learning and sharing of experiences, lessons learned and contribution to the flow of information

The dedicated regional team estimated that they dedicate 20-30% of their time to NJP Panama. In addition, UNDP has hired a support person for the NJP in relation to planning, monitoring and evaluation, which enables workload balancing.

At UNEP, the focal point dedicates 40% of his/her time to PNC, and the administrative assistant dedicates a similar percentage to the Programme.

Due to the lack of information in the NJP design, it was impossible to estimate the human resources needed from the beginning. For example, the responsibility to form a Coordination Unit and establish NJP offices became the responsibility of UNDP, but it does not appear in the results framework. Also, it seems that all the agencies underestimated the human resource requirements. This underestimation seems more severe in the case of UNDP since up until the end of 2012, they only had the focal point dedicating 20-30% of his/her time to NJP for all the matters of consultation, participation, communications, and capacity-building.

5.6. Monitoring and reporting systems

The monitoring of the budgets and the financial administration is carried out by the agencies while the monitoring of results, based on the Annual Operational Plans (POAs) is carried out at several levels that include the Coordination Unit, the agencies, the Executive Committee, and the UN-REDD Policy Board.

ANAM officials prepare monthly reports to ANAM. The administrative assistant of the NJP consolidates NJP performance data monthly with mechanisms ranging from online query data on implementation (UNDP) to direct coordination with the administrative staff of the agencies (UNEP and FAO). The consultants prepare monthly reports that are sent simultaneously to ANAM and the Contracting UNS Agency. The agencies carry out the payment for the consultants, according to their respective procedures.

As a lead agency, it is UNEP's obligation, together with the NJP coordinator, to gather inputs and consolidate the bi-annual and annual reports that are presented to the UN-REDD Policy Board. ANAM reviews these reports and fills out the section destined to the national authorities.

The early reports from 2010 and 2011 are very general and present an optimistic situation regarding the advances of the NJP. It is possible to note a great effort in the reports to reconcile the advances with the results framework.

The report of 2012 shows a big qualitative step regarding reporting the advances (2012 was the year of the most substantive advances) but also in terms of reflecting the challenges of low execution, administrative procedures, and the slow and complex decision making process.

The NJP receives comments and input on the reports from the UN-REDD Secretariat and agency secretariats, which also certify the financial information. Even though the reports are public, it has not received comments from other actors.

5.8. Risk Management

The risks identified in the Programme Document are:

- 1. Low ownership of the NJP by ANAM
- 2. Low participation level of the indigenous peoples
- 3. High level of conflicts between key actors
- 4. Changes in the regulatory framework
- 5. Rotation of ANAM personnel
- 6. Coordination Unit with little knowledge of the policies, regulations, and procedures regarding the donors
- 7. Difficulty with inter-agency coordination
- 8. Difficult coordination between UN-REDD and FCPF
- 9. Increase in the deforestation rate
- 10. Effects related to the decreased liquidity of donors

Risks 4 and 7-10 have not had a greater impact in the execution of the NJP, while other risks previously described have had a deep impact on the execution. Risks 1 and 5, related to the ownership and ANAM personnel have been resolved, since the current administrator of ANAM and its technical team have shown a high level of commitment with the NJP. Risk No. 6 has been resolved through training and strengthening of the Coordination Unit. The risk related to the inter-agency coordination (7) is still a critical factor for the difficulties intrinsically related to the joint programs between agencies of administrative procedures and slow and complex decision making procedures.

Risks 2 and 3 concerns the conflict and participation of the indigenous peoples, but unfortunately these are still critical factors that now have led to the suspension of the activities.

The risks are monitored quarterly through the UNDP risk systems that are read at headquarters and by the UN-REDD Secretariat. Nevertheless, it is not clear to what degree this system gives feedback to the NJP or facilitates the allocation of the technical resources to contribute to the resolution of the problems.

5.9. Problem-solving

The conflict with COONAPIP has had a severe impact on the NJP reaching the point of suspension of activities with indigenous peoples and the activities considered as new. The conflict has also had a transversal impact with much attention and resources directed to it. Despite the many efforts, meetings, exchanges of letters, commissions etc., it has not been possible to establish formal or institutionalized mechanisms to ensure the full participation of the indigenous peoples in the Programme or the decision-making entities. The Team is under the impression that this is mainly due to the lack of diverse strategies for consultation, communication, participation, and training from the beginning and the lack of representation of the indigenous peoples in the Steering Committee. This implies that there have been no participatory processes that were gradually generating results and there have been no formal or institutionalized spaces to continue to resolve the obstacles and challenges met. The *ad hoc* mechanism established at the request of the COONAPIP has not filled this void. Also the complexity of the mechanism of the decisionmaking of UN-REDD and the NJP and the diffused role between ANAM and the agencies in the relationship and commitments with the indigenous peoples are factors that have affected the conflict even more. Facing these problems, the NJP has lacked formal and regular instances for problem-solving and has been characterized by a certain amount of unrealism in detecting, describing and solving the problems.

Starting from the complaints and the withdrawal of the COONAPIP from the NJP, UN-REDD made the decision to suspend the activities with indigenous peoples and the activities considered as new. At the same time, it has decided to carry out the independent investigation and mid-term evaluation. The preliminary report of the investigation was presented informally to the meeting of the UN-REDD Policy Board in June of 2013, in the presence of ANAM and COONAPIP. ANAM responded to the preliminary findings in the investigation, ANAM emphasized its commitment to continue with the implementation of the NJP; it acknowledged that there were mistakes along the process and made a commitment to present a proposal to be subjected to the approval of the COONAPIP Assembly. COONAPIP confirmed that, in its view, the NJP is closed, but expressed its desire to consider the government proposal at its Assembly and suggested that the dialogue with the Government could be resumed.

The Team is under the impression that the suspension of the activities that could directly affect or involve the indigenous peoples and the realization of an investigation has represented positive steps for not making the conflict more severe. It also seems that there is an opportunity that COONAPIP and ANAM may initiate dialogue based on the proposal made by ANAM.

The challenge is to ensure that many of the authorities and levels involved on behalf of the UN-REDD and the external actors have a common understanding about the process agreed upon between ANAM and COONAPIP without offering their own interpretations, initiatives or interferences.

Finally, it seems feasible to reinitiate the activities of the NJP that do not affect or directly involve the indigenous peoples because the suspension of other activities such as the establishment of the Geo-Portal to place the maps, information and generated scenarios into public access does not affect the rights of the indigenous peoples and may lead to other negative effects.

5.10. Management effectiveness

The Team has noted several factors that limit the effectiveness of management amongst which the following stand out:

The management and administrative structure of the NJP is much more complex and tiring than what is formally acknowledged amongst agencies (at national, regional and headquarter levels), ANAM, the Coordination Unit, and the UN-REDD Global Programme. Additionally, there are partners and actors at a national level and external actors at regional and international levels that in one way or another influence the execution.

The design has critical voids regarding the definition of roles, responsibilities, and the administration of responsibilities and mechanisms, which lead to confusing roles amongst the various actors involved.

The execution of the NJP works in great measure through the bi- and multi-lateral commitment, efforts and informal relations that constitute coordination and decision-making spaces. This is positive to the extent that it helps to defeat the institutional barriers but implies a risk to the extent that it makes correct and proper management of the process more difficult, particularly in situations of conflict. Sometimes the process of decision-making and channels are confusing for the very officials involved and even more for the partners. In general, according to a grading scale of management arrangements established in the terms of reference, the Team considers that the arrangements are moderately unsatisfactory.

6. Financial management and efficiency

6.1. Financial execution and co-financing

The NJP has a Budget of US \$5.3 million Dollars that come from UN-REDD; to which are added the resources that were contributed by ANAM. The contribution of the agencies is granted particularly in terms of human resources such as wages, offices, equipment etc.

The contribution from ANAM in terms of financial resources has been USD 1,477,969. The resources, for example, have been used to buy vehicles so that the regional ANAM agencies can support the activities of the mapping of forest coverage. To this is added the ANAM contribution of 23 people working full time for different intervals between the years of 2011-2013 estimating a contribution of USD 262,000.

YEAR	ASSIGNED	EXECUTED
2011	960,000.00	740,177.00
2012	768,366.00	715,392.00
2013	32,224.00	22,400.00
Sub-total		1,477,969.00
Personnel (2011-13)		262,200
Total		1,740,169.00

The late start of the NJP has had implications for the execution rate. The budget was only activated in 2011, and the execution rate in 2011 was of only 16.5%. The drive to accelerate execution in 2012 is reflected in the execution rate until December 31 2012 of 39%. The percentages of execution vary between 29.5% and 75.2% amongst agencies, which is also explained in part by the different sequences and dynamics of the outcomes worked on by the various agencies.

Execution	rate to	December	31 st	2012
-----------	---------	----------	------------------	------

			Accumulated	
	Total	Amount	Expenses until	
	Assigned	Transferred	12.31.2012	Percentage Executed
FAO	2,189,000	1,679,900	646,726	29.5%
UNDP	2,067,350	906,290	636,419	30.7 %
UNEP	1,043,650	833,530	785,554	75.2 %
Total	5,300,000	3,419,720	2,068,699	39.0 %

The Team has not been able to reconcile the amounts of the execution to June of 2013 but even when many of the activities are cancelled, there are still fixed expenses. For example, in the case of UNDP, the accumulated execution (including commitments) until June 2013 is for 43.3%. The officials in charge of the agencies estimate that with the available budget, it would be possible to conclude most of the products underway if the NJP is extended for approximately one year. This excludes the termination of the National Forest Inventory that presents a particular challenge (see sections 3.24 and 8.1.3).

The NJP faces two challenges regarding financial management: In the immediate context, with the exception of FAO, all the agencies have liquidity problems given that the NJP, in the current situation of the suspension of activities, has not requested the transfer of foreseen funds. In the long term, the NJP faces the challenge of readjusting the budget to the necessary changes of the program including readjustments in the results framework, the temporary framework and depending on the results of the dialogue between ANAM and COONAPIP, the work to be done with the indigenous peoples.

The Team considers it pertinent that the NJP request the transfer of the next payment while it reviews the budget according to the changes of the results and temporary frameworks. The Team furthermore considers it pertinent that the NJP request special support from UN-REDD to reinforce the collaboration with the indigenous peoples if ANAM and COONAPIP come to an agreement.

6.2. Efficiency

Due to the direct execution modality, the budget is managed under the different regulations of the agencies involved. Therefore, the Coordination Unit and the institutions and consultants hired operate under distinct modalities. This brings obvious disadvantages that have been slowly overcome, for example through training of the Unit's personnel.

The regulations and procedures of the UN agencies in general are not flexible or agile. For example, the FAO system needs to register beforehand the identification and photographs of all the participants in a workshop which is not feasible in relation to workshops for indigenous communities. Also, the internal changes in the management systems, for example at FAO, have affected the management. The advantage of having three distinct financial management systems is that this lends a certain flexibility regarding how to make internal arrangements among the agencies to fund activities through the agency that offers more ease for certain expenses. In this sense, for example, it was UNEP that was able to transfer funds for COONAPIP for the payment of the rental of their offices.

A generalized problem amongst the agencies is that their regular systems of financial management are based on budgets and not on activities and results. Therefore, the officials have to maintain a parallel system (manually operated) to reconcile budgets and reports related to the UN-REDD budgets and reports (by results) and the regular systems.

Because of the difficulties previously mentioned and the additional complexity of a joint program, the management requires an investment of time of the personnel without this investment being an added value regarding the results. Therefore, the Team considers that the transaction expenses are elevated though it has not been able to quantify these costs.

The personnel in charge of the NJP has made an effort to accelerate and to search for flexibility in the administrative procedures, but the slowness and the complexity of the procedures is a factor beyond its control even if it has direct implications on the programme implementation, even in the collaboration with the partners that sometimes interpret the bureaucratic barriers as bad faith expressions.

7. Impact

Since this report concerns the mid-term evaluation, it is too early to try to measure the impact of the NJP. However, the Team considers that the NJP based on the products generated for the analysis, decision making, planning, and monitoring has good potential to achieve a positive impact when contributing with the key technical inputs for the definition of a REDD+ National Strategy in Panama with regard to the reinforcing of the governability of the Forest Sector. However, due to the multiple delays and difficulties in the execution of the NJP to achieve this positive impact, it shall require an extension of the temporary framework.

The potential to achieve the intended impact concerning the consultation, participation, and capacity building depend in great measure upon the results of the dialogue between ANAM and COONAPIP, which until now is an unknown factor.

8. Sustainability

The NJP has tried to put emphasis on the generation of multiple benefits, reflected in the generation of products as the mapping of forest coverage and the scenarios of the opportunity costs that will have multiple uses. This is a very important sustainability factor, however, in general terms, the absence of an economic basis from REDD+ implies a risk and a fundamental requirement to seek another form of financial sustainability taking into consideration the opportunity and transaction costs. This is a factor that should be taken into consideration not only by the NJP or UN-REDD, but by all the actors involved in REDD+.

With the delays of the NJP and the cancellation of the activities, the first condition to ensure the sustainability of results on the way is to extend the temporary framework. This will allow for the necessary time to ensure the termination, publication, and dissemination of the technical products such as maps and scenarios.

The other general consideration is that sustainability depends in great measure on communication, consulting, participation, and training strategies that may engender interest in civil society and indigenous peoples as well as political will and as such generate the national ownership of the generated products and advances with regards the REDD+ strategy. Within these general considerations, the Team gives special attention to the following elements that represent sustainability elements or risks.

8.1. Links with other actors and government procedures

The existence of other donors for the preparation of REDD+ in Panama represents an opportunity to seek synergies, complementarities, and consolidation of the results. In particular, the FCPF program with a possible launch in 2014 will be tentatively an important complement to the NJP since supposedly this should be of national reach. ANAM is in the process of updating the offer for FCPF; which should be adapted to the

experiences generated, the results reached, and the NJP's pending tasks. Also, if methodologies and approaches are adapted, the pilot projects funded by GIZ and USAID will be important complements to consolidate the results of the NJP and to ensure that these pilot projects can have the advantages to register inside a REDD National Plan; which could potentially implicate better prices, a system of safeguards for quality inputs and non-monetary benefits.

The NJP has not reached better results with regard to influencing sectoral policies so that they include REDD+ considerations. To achieve this, it will be very important to achieve sustainability and the national and political ownership. Authorities such as MEF and MIDA and the legislative assembly are key actors in this sense. The Team considers that the communication, consultation, participation, and training strategies should be reoriented to strengthen the links with these actors, considering the REDD+ National Round Table as a possible permanent authority for integration and alignment.

8.2. REDD+ National Round Table

The REDD+ National Round Table has been conceptualized as a temporary authority in the context of the NJP, with a specific function regarding the development of the REDD+ National Strategy. The Team considers that there is a low possibility that the National Strategy will be approved before the conclusion of the NJP and also considers that the processes of discussion, elaboration, piloting, generation, and the exchange of ideas etc. may possibly be more multifaceted than what was thought at the beginning. So, the Team considers it important to seek institutionalization and national ownership of the REDD+ National Round Table that is also a manner in which sustainability can be guaranteed in the development process of the REDD+ National Strategy. So, ANAM should seek a way to guarantee the continuation and sustainability of the Table as a true national multi-sectoral platform for REDD+ matters.

8.3. Sustainability of national forest inventory

A methodology for the INF has been designed to obtain a high quality and multipurpose product for the country, but there are questions about the balance between quality and cost and as a result the sustainability of the result. FAO has calculated that in the NJP there is a budget deficit of USD one million in order to conclude the INF. Regarding the completion of the inventory, the question arises whether it is possible to obtain the additional funding needed. With regards sustainability, the question is whether Panama, in the long term, will prioritize the allocation of funds to regularly update the INF. Focused on the urgent decision-making concerning the path to be followed to move forward with INF, there are several considerations that should be taken into account:

• There is the option of a less ambitious and less expensive methodology that focuses directly on the measurement of carbon without the multiple benefits that the most expensive methodology includes. However, the main cost appears to be in reaching sample units and establishing measurement plots. The marginal cost for collecting additional data is relatively low.

- The reach of the INF can be limited, reducing the number of sample units.
- Alliances could be sought with other donors/actors that work at a sub-national level to collect funds (for example ACP) based on the common methodology.
- The conclusion of INF can be included in the offer to be presented to FCPF.

All these reflections about INF require technical and political decisions, and it is important that amongst ANAM, FAO, and other relevant actors, a collegiate decision be taken during the next months. In particular it is important to define how the INF will be institutionalized as part of the forest and carbon monitoring system.

9. Conclusions, recommendations and lessons learned

9.1. Conclusions

The most important conclusions about the NJP that constitute the basis for the recommendations are the following:

Technical contributions to the agencies

- The subject of REDD+, and as a result the NJP, are very relevant to Panama
- The extremely ambitious and inconsistent design has required great effort of analysis, adaptation, and operation from all the actors involved.
- Technical products of multiple benefits are being generated that are very important for a REDD+ strategy in Panama and for the governability of the forest sector
- The unequal advances of the components and the absence of transversal strategies of communication, consultation and participation since the beginning have weakened the cohesion of the Programme.
- There have been unnecessary re-learning of lessons about the need to work on the issues of consultation, participation, communication, and capacity building in a systematic form from the beginning of the programme.
- The complexity of the decision making and administration and financial management processes have made adaptive management difficult; it has limited the necessary flexibility in collaboration with its partners and has made it difficult to carry out the changes and readjustments needed for the results framework.
- The resources required to coordinate a joint programme were underestimated.
- The consolidation and sustainability of the REDD+ National Round Table is a fundamental element with implications for the development of the National REDD+ Strategy and the alignment and ownership at the national level and with other actors.
- The activities with the COONAPIP have failed, and the potential to reinitiate collaboration will depend on clear agreements being made between COONAPIP and ANAM
- Not all of the planned products are reachable in the planned temporary framework and available budget, but with a temporary extension, the NJP has the potential to generate products that are very valuable for the country
- The suspension of new activities that do not affect or involve the Indigenous peoples, such as the opening of the Geo-Portal, harms the completion and the sustainability of the technical products
- It is opportune to readjust the results framework and reorient the NJP towards a more dynamic and realistic strategy
- If a collaboration agreement is achieved between ANAM and COONAPIP for REDD+, the possibility to request special support from UN-REDD may be considered to reinforce the budget for this work

• The reorientation of this Programme constitutes an opportune time to seek the complementarities with other actors in the matters of the REDD+ in Panama (FCPF, GIZ, ACP etc.)

9.2. Recommendations

Recommendations related to the National Joint Programme of Panama are:

- To the NJP: Maintain the suspension of activities with the Indigenous people until agreements have been reached with the national and territorial indigenous authorities on how to continue with the collaboration
- To UN-REDD: Extend the NJP until the end of 2014 with the aims of concluding and consolidating the results achieved and to seek their sustainability
- To NJP: Adapt the NJP strategy to take into account the unequal progress of the various elements, minimizing the dependence on results that are not predictable, and reinforcing the cohesion among the elements that are underway
- To NJP: Readjust and/or re-dimension the expected products of the NJP in order to eliminate products that are not reachable and to focus on generating products that are robust and sustainable considering: the new temporary framework, the rest of the budget, the national circumstances, and eventually the agreements signed with the indigenous peoples
- To ANAM: Consolidate the REDD+ National Round Table as a platform for a permanent and broad dialogue of ANAM and the Panamanian society for defining, coordinating, and executing the REDD+ Strategy in Panama
- To ANAM: Request specific support under the UN-REDD Global Program to reinforce the consultation and participation of the indigenous peoples to the extent that this support is agreed upon and requested by the indigenous authorities
- To ANAM and FAO: Determine the reach, dimension, institutional anchoring, and mechanism for the maintenance and sustainability of the National Forest Monitoring System (INF, satellite terrestrial inventory monitoring system of GEI) in alignment with the available budget, options to find additional financing, the temporary framework of the NJP, and the collaboration with other sub-national, national, and international authorities

- To NJP: Define diversified strategies of consultation, participation, and capacity building according to the various needs and demands of the stakeholders that participate in the REDD+ process in Panama
- To NJP and UN-REDD: Adopt a communication protocol to ensure that dialogue about issues of consultation and participation in the context of the NJP take place directly between the duly authorised representatives of the NJP and the Panamanian organizations with roles and mandates on the issues relevant to REDD+ in Panama (government authorities, indigenous peoples, civil society, academia and others)

Since global guidelines and requirements of UN-REDD to a certain extent define and impact the planning and implementation at the national level, the Team would like to offer the following recommendations for consideration by the UN-REDD Global Programme:

- To NJP: Focus the communication strategy on the dissemination and discussion of the products for the national analysis generated by the NJP (Geo-Portal and others)
- To UN-REDD: Seek ways to simplify and accelerate the management of the joint national programmes through the modality of national execution or execution through only one agency
- To UN-REDD: Adapt the UN-REDD Guidelines (stakeholder involvement, FPIC) for a better reflection of the complex realities and experiences gained through implementation in the field
- To UN-REDD: Study possible ways to improve flexibility and resolve the limitations that arise in the roles and procedures of the UN systems with regards recognizing, also for administrative purposes, the political and technical bodies of the indigenous peoples in their various functions as representative authorities and program managers

9.3. Lessons learned

REDD+ is a dynamic and changing process, which by itself constitutes a problematic starting point for the design of a Programme. Therefore, UN-REDD National Programmes need to have simple and flexible designs with strategies that seek to generate multiple benefits.

The direct execution modality of three agencies has high transaction costs and is against the principles of the aid effectiveness of agenda as established in the Paris Declaration.

The UN-REDD guidelines served as a safeguard to ensure the consultation and initial validity with the indigenous peoples, but they did not lead to adequate quality control of the design of the Programme, even in terms of the definition of roles and responsibilities of agencies, state authorities, indigenous peoples, and civil society. In particular, the agencies should very carefully outline their roles and responsibilities to the state and avoid programmes generating expectations that do not reflect state commitments.

From the beginning, the inter-related strategies for consultation, participation, communication, and construction of abilities should be conceptualized with the diversity of actors as continuous processes linked to the several phases of UN-REDD national programmes and the REDD+ readiness process itself.

Multifaceted and flexible processes could include, for example, the following elements:

- Initial processes of communication and consultation about the NJP
- Participation and consultation as permanent processes through institutionalized decision-making mechanisms
- Institutional strengthening and training processes to ensure full and effective participation
- Consultations with several sectors about relevant issues for a National REDD+ Strategy
- Several modalities of participation for partners in the development of products
- Diversified communication processes about the generated products
- Internal consultations for the indigenous peoples, according to the practices and customs of their self-government bodies, to consolidate positions concerning REDD+
- Specific consultations about the legislative or administrative measures that may affect the indigenous peoples

Attachment A: List of Persons who were Consulted

Attachment B: Work Agenda of the Evaluation