|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| biconundp**UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME****BUREAU FOR DEVELOPMENT POLICY (BDP)** | **ONE PAGE MISSION REPORT SUMMARY**  **Date:**  |
| **Name:** Clea Paz-Rivera | **Group/Unit:** Energy and Environment | **Tel No.:** +41 229178558 | **Travel Authorization #:**       |
| **Approved Mission Itinerary**: New York-Brasilia-Quito | **List of Annexes**:E mail from Thais Linhares |
| From: July 12 To: July 16 Date: July 22 Key Counterpart(s) in each location: Brazilian Government representatives, UN-REDD team, FCPF, FIP |
| Purpose/Objective of Mission: Participate from:1. Meeting with the Government of Brazil and multilateral REDD+ initiatives (July 12 and 13)
2. REDD+ Interim Partnership meeting (July 14 and 15)
 |
| **Brief Summary of Mission Findings:** 1. Meeting with the Government of Brazil

Participants: Ministry of Environment (MAE), Ministry of Science and Technology, Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA)Forestry Service, Amazon Fund (administered by BNDES, Brazilian Development Bank). Multilateral REDD+ initiatives: UN-REDD (Peter Holmgren, Charles Mc Neill, Pierre Yves Guedez, Alberto Sandoval), UNP Brazil (Rose Guedez, Alexandre Brazil), FCPF (Werner Kornexl), FIP (Gerhard Dieterle and Patrick ), GEF (Gustavo Fonseca), UNFF (Jen McAlpine).On the first day there were presentations by Thais Linhares (MAE) about REDD+ in Brazil, by Claudia Costa about the Amazon Fund, followed by a presentation by GEF. On the second day there were presentations by UN-REDD, FCPF and FIP, followed by a discussion on potential collaboration with Brazil and between multilateral initiatives.Main outcomes of the meeting: * Brazil, a key player for REDD+ globally, is interested in collaborating and possibly joining to REDD+ multilateral initiatives (considering it has been already selected as a FIP pilot country).
* Brazil has a lot of technical capacity and relevant experience in the forestry sector and collaboration with multilateral agencies should be seen as horizontal partnership
* There is a clear leadership by the MAE regarding REDD+ in Brazil, but given the implications of the UNFCCC and overlapping responsibilities with other Ministries, is clear that Brazil would need an inter ministerial committee to deal with all aspects of REDD+. Also, the MFA is the one in charge of signing international agreements, and they had a very cautious position regarding collaboration with multilaterals.
* Brazil has doubts about offsetting industrialized countries emissions through REDD+, and therefore express some concern about the emphasis that the WB initiatives put to the Carbon Market. This could represent a comparative advantage of the UN-REDD Programme for being their partner for REDD+ readiness.
* Implications of the future elections in October and related uncertainty about ministerial role on REDD+ need to be considered and can influence the possibility to reach an agreement on collaboration beforehand.
* For the UN-REDD Programme South-South collaboration could be the key area for collaboration/support

Specifically I provided support on: Preparing draft and revising the presentation given by Peter Holmgren, Charles McNeill1. REDD+ Interim Partnership meeting
* The meeting was carried out with serious logistical problems and disagreements between the co-chairs and within the Partnership
* There was no agreement on the main outcomes expected to be achieved in the meeting: workplan, definition of secretariat services, and rules for stakeholder engagement.
* Specifically I provided support on: Preparing draft for the presentation given by Peter Holmgren, Charles McNeill and Werner Kornexl, note taking for both days, and supported DSA disbursement.
 |
| **Recommendations/Actions to be Taken and by Whom:** 1. Write letter to Brasil proposing next steps for collaboration with the UN/REDD Programme/Tiina Vahanen based on draft I sent
2. Follow up on future requests by the Partnership for secretariat services, on the agreement that we cannot provide services in the same conditions than Brasilia meeting’s support
 | Distribution: (Copies to)Yemi Katerere, Tim Clairs, Tiina Vahanen, Linda Rosengren, Reem Ismail, Ravi Prabhu, Alberto Sandoval, Charles Mc Neill, Peter Holmgren |
| 1. It is mandatory that Mission Report Summaries be prepared within one week after completion of a mission
2. This can serve as the Report Cover Page if a detailed mission report is prepared subsequently
3. It should be prepared in Word so that changes can be made and section lengths adjusted as required
4. It cannot exceed one page in length
 |