





Guidance for Inception Phases of UN-REDD National Programme: An overview





UNDP/UN-REDD Programme Planning Meeting
New York
1-5 February 2010









Process

- <u>ToR</u>: "Principled Guidance"
- Starting point model: Viet Nam Inception Workshop (Sept)
- Planned second data point: Congo (October)
- <u>Drafting</u>: v.1: Dec; v.2: Jan
- Commenting: some comments received UNDP, FAO
- Actual second data point: Tanzania (last week)
- <u>Finalization</u>: February submission to Policy Board





1: The external coordination, or "fitting in," principle

NPs should contribute to, and form a constituent element of, an integrated national approach to the challenges and opportunities of REDD

- Effective coordination and integration with national REDD Readiness process is paramount
- Complexity and importance will vary according to country: # of players, centrality of UN-REDD programme
- Redouble efforts during IP
 - Assessing & supporting the process
 - Updating the scene since NP document
 - Key objective of Inception Workshop
 - Potential implications for workplan
 - Coordination jujitsu: use any criticism / demands for coordination to enhance coordinating role





2: The oversight principle

NPs should have effective and transparent systems of oversight

- Need for a Steering Committee, Board or Advisory Group
- Project-level oversight should be used as a tool for broader, 'beyond project' integration
- Finding the 'sweet spot' between project-level control and integration with broader processes (a political judgment)
- During IP: Draft ToR, select members, hold first meeting





3: The integrated management principle

ROGRAMME

Day-to-day and strategic management processes and systems should be streamlined and integrated across participating UN organizations

- The Programme Coordination & Management Group (PCMG): (1)
 Implementing Agency (counterpart staff), (2) project professional staff and (3)
 participating UN organizations (representatives).
 - During IP: Developing workplans & budgets, agreeing on staff ToRs
 - Developing harmonized implementation arrangements for:
 Workplan and budget preparation; financial procedures: recruitment of project staff and consultants; procurement of supplies, services and equipment; organization of travel, workshops and meetings; adaptive management and reporting
- 'Harmonizing egos': Representatives of UN organizations need to behave as a team developing common positions, having a team leader, consistent representation, etc.
 UN-RFD



4: The financial harmonization principle

Financial management systems should be harmonized across agencies and should minimize transaction costs facing national authorities

- Maintaining a common 'interface' vis-à-vis Government
- Use of the HACT process and FACE forms
- Another fine line: between encouraging use of national systems and ownership and ensuring integrity of the process
- Importance of assurance measures (IP)
- Maintaining flexibility





Conclusions: Inception Guidance is critical (unbiased view)

- Defines an inception process around which project teams (UN, Government, project staff) can coalesce:
 - What needs to be accomplished: common agenda and template
 - Who needs to accomplish it: helps to define roles and responsibilities within that overall set of tasks
 - When it needs to be accomplished: encourages emergence of quarterly work packages
- Lessons from Tanzania mission
 - Guidelines as a point of departure for discussions about project oversight, management, coordination and harmonized implementation arrangements and structures
 - Easy to see what remains to be done; to be further aided by a checklist

