

Risk Log

#	Description	Date Identified	Type	Impact & Probability	Counter measures / management response	Owner	Submitted /updated by	Last Update	Status
1	Commitment of the RGC towards implementing REDD does not remain firm	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Political	High-level political support for REDD+ is required if Government agencies are to coordinate the development of a national programme. Probability = 2; Impact = 4; Risk = 8	Achieving high-level political support for REDD+ is contingent on successful progress of the international negotiations, and establishment of mechanisms to reward developing countries and/or people in developing countries for reductions in deforestation. High-level political support for REDD+ in Cambodia is dependent on the success of the already-established pilot projects. Support will be provided to selected pilots by UNDP.	UNDP CO will monitor	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Stable
2	Government agencies do not cooperate and coordinate activities effectively	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Organisational	Failure of Government agencies, especially FA and GDANCP, to work together effectively would slow but would not prevent progress towards REDD+ Readiness. A perception of institutional competition would reduce overall commitment to REDD+ Probability = 3; Impact = 3; Risk = 9	The Cambodia REDD+ Taskforce has been explicitly established to mitigate this risk. The Taskforce's decision-making process ensures adequate coordination and consensus between Government agencies. It will be critical that technical advisors under the FCPF coordinate with both FA/MAFF and GDANCP/MoE throughout implementation and avoid perceptions of bias.	Cambodia REDD+ Taskforce and UNDP will monitor	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Stable
3	Donor coordination is ineffective	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Organisational	Lack of donor coordination could restrict the effectiveness of achieving REDD+ Readiness through a partnership of development partners.	Donor governance structures include representatives from other key donors. RGC and development partners are currently examining options for an integrated governance structure for all donor-supported	UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Improving

#	Description	Date Identified	Type	Impact & Probability	Counter measures / management response	Owner	Submitted /updated by	Last Update	Status
				Probability = 1; Impact = 2; Risk = 2	interventions				
4	Sub-national authorities do not share central government's commitment to REDD	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Political	It is inevitable that there will be variation in the level of commitment among sub-national partners; where commitment is low, developing capacity to implement REDD will be slow. Ultimately, it is to be expected that national implementation of REDD will take account of poor progress in some provinces/districts Probability = 2; Impact = 2; Risk = 4.	Capacity development in 2 provinces under Outcome x will take account of variation in provincial capacities, awareness and support. Linkage to existing pilot project activities needs to be taken into account.	Technical Advisors will be responsible for reporting to UNDP CO on any early indications of lack of commitment at provincial level	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Stable
5	Programme inputs (funds, human resources, etc.) are not mobilized in a timely fashion	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Operational	Most of the outputs in the programme logframe are inter-connected so slow mobilization of inputs to one component will slow down the whole programme. Probability = 2; Impact = 2; Risk = 4	Building on structures and processes established under UN-REDD and Cam-REDD, and rapid recruitment of technical advisors should reduce the probability and impact of this risk	Technical advisors will be responsible for reporting to UNDP CO on potential delays in mobilizing inputs	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Improving
6	Influential stakeholders who could profit from REDD+ take over the national	Roadmap formulation (2010)	Political	It is recognized that some stakeholders could profit significantly from REDD+ and could be tempted to take over the national REDD+ Readiness process. This would compromise the	Empowering the Cambodia REDD+ Taskforce and quickly demonstrating progress should reduce the risk of other influential stakeholders hijacking the process.	FA and Program Coordinator will be responsible for monitoring	Assessment Note author	September 2012	Stable

#	Description	Date Identified	Type	Impact & Probability	Counter measures / management response	Owner	Submitted /updated by	Last Update	Status
	REDD+ Readiness process			<p>program.</p> <p>Probability = 1; Impact = 3; Risk = 6.</p>					
7	Upstream planning processes potentially pose environmental or social impacts or are vulnerable to environmental and social change	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	<p>Historically, not all policy decisions affecting the forest sector in Cambodia have adequately considered social or environmental impacts</p> <p>Probability = 2 Impact = 3 Risk = 6</p>	Empowering the Cambodia REDD+ Taskforce and quickly demonstrating progress will build and maintain confidence in and ownership of REDD+ processes at the highest level	UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
8	Downstream activities that potentially pose environmental and social impacts or are vulnerable to environmental and social change	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	<p>Past and current land management practices have not always been consistent with national policies, and have had adverse social or environmental impacts</p> <p>Probability = 3 Impact = 3 Risk = 9</p>		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
9	Potential environmental and social impacts that could affect indigenous people or other vulnerable groups	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	<p>IPs have historically been marginalized, and consequently have been exposed to social or environmental impacts</p> <p>Probability = 2 Impact = 2 Risk = 4</p>		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Improving

#	Description	Date Identified	Type	Impact & Probability	Counter measures / management response	Owner	Submitted /updated by	Last Update	Status
10	Potential impact on gender equality and women's empowerment	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inappropriate REDD+ implementation could impact gender equality and women's empowerment Probability = 2 Impact = 2 Risk = 4		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
11	Potential for variable impacts on women and men, different ethnic groups, social classes	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inappropriate REDD+ implementation could have variable impacts on different groups Probability = 2 Impact = 3 Risk = 6		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
12	Potential human rights implications for vulnerable groups?	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inappropriate REDD+ implementation could adversely affect human rights Probability = 2 Impact = 3 Risk = 6		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
13	Potential to have impacts that could affect women's and men's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources and other natural capital assets	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inappropriate REDD+ implementation could impact women's and men's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources and other natural capital assets Probability = 1 Impact = 2 Risk = 2		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable
14	Potential to significantly affect land tenure arrangements	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inappropriate REDD+ implementation could impact land tenure or cultural ownership patterns Probability = 2		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable

#	Description	Date Identified	Type	Impact & Probability	Counter measures / management response	Owner	Submitted /updated by	Last Update	Status
	and/or traditional cultural ownership patterns			Impact = 3 Risk = 6					
15	Potential impact of currently approved land use plans (e.g. roads, settlements) which could affect the environmental and social sustainability of the project	Social/ environmental screening (Aug. 2012)	Political /social and environmental	Inconsistencies between REDD+ readiness processes and existing plans could undermine impact and sustainability of results Probability = 2 Impact = 2 Risk = 4		UNDP CO and UNDP Regional Advisor	Assessment Note author	N/A	Stable

* Probability (P) x Impact (I) = risk; P and I are ranked from 1 to 5 (1 = low; 5 = high); lowest risk is 1, highest risk is 25