Piloting Local Decision Making in the Development of a REDD+ Compliant BDS for Viet Nam

Consultation with the BDS STWG 4 November 2011, MARD

Mr Vu Van Me, Mr Nguyen Vinh Quang & Mr Adrian Enright

Outline

- Overview of the pilot activities & timing
- Present thinking around criteria for the selection of
 - REDD+ beneficiaries who to consult
 - Pilot communities where to consult
- Group discussion
- Next steps
- Intention to seek guidance on the above criteria and have a clear indication of who and where pilot activities will take place

- UN-REDD Programme are seeking to pilot local decision making for Viet Nam's future REDD+ Programme
- Consistent with UN-REDD processes, namely
 - Policy options paper
 - R-coefficient
 - BDS consultations
- Key objective is to design and pilot mechanisms to provide local stakeholders implementing REDD+ interventions with the ability to <u>self-determine</u> their preferred approach
 - beneficiary unit (household, village, commune, or other) and
 - form of benefit (cash payment or various forms of non-cash benefits).

- What does this involve?
 - <u>Does not involve making payments</u>
 - Does involve 4 key activities
 - Designing processes to allow local authorities to record different choices made by different beneficiary groups
 - Designing processes to enable beneficiaries to monitor their progress
 - Designing processes to allow access to beneficiary groups of information to be assured of equitable application of the payment system
 - Design processes to minimise corruption in the administration of benefits at all levels
- Today we will focus on discussing #1

- We want to achieve an understanding of local perspectives on the type of benefit sharing mechanism that would suit different beneficiaries
- We want to be as inclusive as possible in our consultations whilst being practical and realistic
- We want to build communities understanding of REDD+ and BDS
 - Consistent with FPIC and BDS consultations by UN-REDD
- We want to avoid raising expectations

- Activities will take place in 2 districts in Lam Dong Province
 - 1. Lam Ha
 - 2. Di Linh
- Consultations will begin <u>next week</u> at the local level
- Further consultations with BDS STWG to follow for other activities
- Piloting activities to be carried out in December 2011
- Final report to be delivered at the end of January 2012

- Intention of today
 - Present criteria for selecting beneficiaries and communities
 - To seek your feedback on the criteria
- Need to keep in mind that this is a pilot, and can not be fully inclusive of all scenarios and criteria – we need to be realistic and pragmatic

Potential REDD+ beneficiaries in Vietnam

- REDD+ in Viet Nam will target 7 beneficiaries
 - 1. State-owned companies
 - 2. Individual households
 - 3. Management Boards for Protection Forests
 - 4. Management Boards for Protected Areas
 - 5. People's Committees
 - 6. Village communities
 - 7. Joint venture enterprises

Proposed approach

 Focus on consulting villagers in selected sites as well as stateowned companies and Management Boards for Protection Forests and Protected Areas

Consulting villagers: who shall we include

- Villager's forest management relationships can be relatively straightforward or very complex
 - E.g. many forests can often be used by people from more than one village - need to consult people from more than one village to properly identify all potential REDD+ beneficiaries

Proposed approach

- Be pragmatic we will consult people in single villages given time and resource constraints
- Does not imply that people outside of the village can not be REDD+ beneficiaries

Consulting villagers: how shall we include them

- Need to consult various groups of villagers separately
- Facilitate strategic multi-stakeholder processes that assist marginalized groups to voice their preferences

Proposed approach

- Select three focus villages and consult various groups of villagers separately and facilitate strategic multi-stakeholder processes for the formation of representative preferences
- Seek <u>villagers'</u> <u>preferences in other sites through village</u> <u>assemblies</u>
- Consistent with the BDS consultations undertaken by the UN-REDD Programme
- Culturally appropriate and locally specific procedures will receive particular attention

Where shall we conduct village consultations

- Forests in Viet Nam are characterised by a wide range of local conditions
- Di Linh and Lam Ha
 - significant proportion of indigenous ethnic minority groups, such as the K'Ho and Chau Ma, next to Kinh majorities and migrant ethnic minority groups, such as Muong, Thai and Tay
 - various forest classification and tenure

Proposed approach

- Consider 3 key parameters to capture much of the variation
 - 1. villagers' ethnicity
 - forest classification
 - local forest tenure

Where shall we conduct the village consultations cont.

- We propose for our assignment to <u>select three focus villages</u> for each district which represent a spread of different scenarios according to the 3 criteria
 - 1. Village 1: Kinh and ethnic minority village with tenure certificates for production forestland
 - 2. Village 2: Ethnic minority village adjacent to a protection forest or national park with forest protection contracts
 - 3. Village 3: Mixed village of Kinh and migrant ethnic minority groups adjacent to production forest managed by a Forest Company without forest protection contracts.
- Remaining villages cover a range of local conditions including various ethnic compositions (indigenous ethnic minority, Kinh, migrant ethnic minority, mixed), forest classifications, forest dependency and forest tenure situations

Consulting companies and Management Boards: how shall we consult them?

- Like villager's, companies and management boards may have different preferences for benefits
- Secondary data suggests there are 2 target companies
 - Di Linh State-owned One-Member Forestry Company
 - managing 27,036 ha with 25,655 ha of forestland
 - 496 households covering an area of 13,700 ha of protection and natural production forests
 - Nam Ban Protection Forest Management Board
 - total forest area of 22,053 ha
 - PFMB has also contracted forestland for protection to local people surrounding with an area of 10,536 ha

Consulting companies and Management Boards: how shall we consult them?

Proposed approach

 Organize <u>single meetings with representatives</u> of Di Linh Stateowned One-Member Forestry Company and Nam Ban Protection Forest Management Board to consult them about their preferences

Discussion

Next steps

- Finalise criteria for beneficiaries and pilot areas
- Meet with relevant stakeholders next week to seek cooperation and approval of activities
- Seek feedback from BDS STWG during November meeting on conducting pilot activities

Thank you for your participation