

**UN-REDD Programme Asia Regional Consultation with Indigenous Peoples on FPIC and Recourse
Mechanisms
16 – 18 June 2010
Hanoi, Viet Nam**

Purpose of the Consultation:

The purpose of the second UN-REDD Programme Asia Regional Consultation with Indigenous Peoples and Forest Dependent Communities was to initiate a three-step process to develop guidelines on free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC) and recourse for the UN-REDD Programme. The initial draft guidelines will be further elaborated through a series of regional follow-up dialogues (in Latin America and Africa) and via a public comment and input process. The resulting guidelines will be added as an annex to the [UN-REDD Programme Operational Guidance on the Engagement of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities](#).

Desired Outcome:

An initial set of definitions, recommendations, and guidelines for FPIC processes and recourse mechanisms to be applied to the UN-REDD Programme to inform subsequent regional dialogues.

Overview of Sessions:

DAY ONE: INTRODUCTORY PRESENTATIONS

The workshop was opened by **Mme. Pham Minh Thoa, National Programme Director, UN-REDD Programme** in Viet Nam, who welcomed participants to Hanoi and expressed her belief that REDD can deliver environmental and socio-economic benefits to all people in addition to fulfilling its role in mitigating climate change. Mme. Thoa explained that the Viet Nam UN-REDD Programme has pursued a pilot FPIC process in Lam Dong province in order to ensure that the rural poor who rely on the forests for their livelihoods are fully informed. Mme Thoa emphasized that the pilot process was a learning experience and underlined her hope that the lessons learned would inform other REDD countries and she expressed her wish for further cooperation in the region and beyond.

Mr. K'Breu, a representative of CEMA in Lam Dong province, expressed his recognition of the importance of this program in conserving the environment and expressed how important it was to engage the ethnic minorities of Viet Nam in the program.

Christophe Bahuet, Deputy Country Director for UNDP's Viet Nam Country Office, welcomed participants to Hanoi and expressed his belief that Viet Nam has an important role to play in demonstrating the real potential for REDD as a response to climate change. He congratulated the participants in advance for their willingness to engage on the challenging and important topic and for the progress that has already been made through the pilot FPIC process in Lam Dong Province.

Charles McNeill, Senior Policy Advisor with the UN-REDD Programme in the USA commenced the session by giving an overview of the purpose, desired outcome and the agenda for the three-day workshop (see Annex 2).

The first session of the day focused on giving an overview of the issues of FPIC and recourse within the context of international law and multilateral institutions, background on the UN-REDD Programme and how



the Programme has approached the issues of stakeholder engagement and the participation of Indigenous Peoples and Forest Dependent Communities.

Kristen Hite, Staff Attorney with the Center of International Environmental Law, gave an introduction to the international legal framework for FPIC and the responsibilities of the international institutions and UN agencies to support its implementation. Ms. Hite also gave an overview of the key attributes of a reliable recourse mechanism and of existing complaint mechanisms used by multilateral institutions, their functions and the remedies they provide.

Charles McNeill gave a presentation outlining the common understanding of REDD+ and how the UN-REDD Programme works to support countries to build their capacity and develop strategies to be able to participate fully in REDD. Dr. McNeill gave an overview of why stakeholder engagement is so important to the success of REDD and the ultimate reduction of emissions from deforestation and forest degradation. He outlined the obligations and responsibilities of the UN systems, from international law, to support the participation and engagement of stakeholders, including Indigenous Peoples and Forest Dependent Communities. He closed his presentation with a review of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Long-term Co-operative Action (LCA) draft text on full stakeholder engagement and safeguards in REDD+.

Elsbeth Halverson from the UN-REDD Programme in the USA gave an overview of the Programme's approach to stakeholder engagement, as it is outlined in the Operational Guidance on the Engagement of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities and in the terms of reference for the UN-REDD Programme Policy Board (the governing body for the Programme). She emphasized the basis of the Operational Guidance in existing UN declarations, policies and procedures, including the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the rights based approach. She outlined the components of the Operational Guidance including steps to ensure representation, transparency and access to information, participation and inclusion, and accountability. Mrs. Halverson outlined the Programme's responsibility to support the implementation of the UNDRIP, including support for the application of free, prior and informed consent. As such, she recounted, the Programme has been called upon by both the members of the Policy Board (participating countries and civil society) as well as by broader stakeholders (such during the first regional Asia/Pacific consultation with Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities that took place in Bangkok last year) to give guidance on FPIC should be applied to the Programme. She emphasized that this was the purpose of the workshop and reminded participants that civil society and Indigenous Peoples have a very strong voice in guiding the direction and decisions taken by the UN-REDD Programme through their members on the Policy Board.

Marcus Colchester, Executive Director of Forest Peoples Programme, fellow of the Samdhana Institute and Forests Dialogue partner, gave an overview of lessons and issues that have been raised from efforts to implement FPIC in the field. Emphasizing the rights basis of FPIC and the scope of rights concerned: rights over lands, resources, cultural heritage, intellectual property, laws and policies that affect livelihoods, including the right to withhold consent or say 'no' to the proposed policy, program, or activity. Dr. Colchester gave examples of how FPIC processes must take place in conformity with peoples' cultures, customary systems and practices, and gave examples of the challenges presented by the gaps and overlaps between customary territories and state/national land titling. Dr. Colchester also outlined the importance of ensuring that the representatives giving consent on behalf of the community are truly reflecting the wishes and interests of their constituency and the problems of verification where consultants have interests in approving/endorsing processes that may not have been fair. Despite the challenges, Dr. Colchester stated his firm belief that FPIC can work, sharing the experience of AMAN in Indonesia which has given indigenous communities assurance of a solid basis for long-term community land care.

Jennifer Corpuz, Legal Coordinator for the Tebtebba Foundation, gave a presentation of Indigenous Peoples' perspectives on FPIC, based on the experiences of communities in the Philippines. Ms. Corpuz

underscored the basis of FPIC in the rights associated with due process, rights to land, territories and resources and the right of self-determination. Ms. Corpuz gave the example of the Philippines where FPIC has formally recognized in national policy and legislation through the Indigenous Peoples' Rights Act (1997) for which guidelines have been developed giving specific instructions on the types of activities that require FPIC and the type of process that has to be undertaken, noting that the guidelines have weakened over time, distinguishing between activities that require a 'regular' FPIC process (such as bio-prospecting) and those that require a 'special' FPIC process (contentious issues such as mining). She highlighted that, while the guidelines for the process were quite clear, there were cases of manipulation, fast-tracking, coercion or decisions being requested on incomplete or inaccurate information. Ms. Corpuz also highlighted that in some cases the communities effectively did not have the right to say 'no' to a proposed project, but were able to dictate the terms of their consent (the benefits that would flow to households, etc.). For this reason, it is essential to have effective and accessible grievance mechanisms for cases where rights are violated.

Minnie Degawan, Project Coordinator, IAITPTF, steering committee member of the Forests Dialogue, gave an overview of The Forest Dialogue's initiative on FPIC which aims to convene interested parties, examine lessons learned and develop mutually agreeable recommendations on how FPIC can be implemented in the forest sector. The initiative is working to identify and unpack some of the challenging issues faced by non-State parties trying to implement FPIC processes, looking at questions of consent and how and when it is sought, who from, and for how long, and how implementation varies according to legal and customary norms and status of Indigenous Peoples' rights in law. Ms. Degawan also gave an overview of the FPIC process being coordinated by IAITPTF-Misereor and reported that they will host a workshop based on case studies and lessons learned in Nagoya at CBD COP 10 this fall. She reminded participants that FPIC is not a new concept or a new right and that it is based on existing standards for respecting traditional decision-making processes and basic rights to resources. She also reminded participants that traditional governance systems are generally specific to each community and that there are real challenges when scope goes beyond traditional system.

Timothy Boyle, Regional Coordinator for Asia and the Pacific, UN-REDD Programme in Thailand, opened the session with an introduction to the approaches taken by Viet Nam and Indonesia, as countries supported by the UN-REDD Programme, to implement pilot FPIC processes. He emphasized that efforts to achieve FPIC are not new, but they are different in the context of REDD – both in terms of geographic scale, since national REDD strategies will cover all forested areas in a country instead of discrete territories or areas of land, and in terms of temporal scale, because REDD 'interventions' or policies will apply over decades instead of months or years. The expansive geographic and temporal scale of national REDD strategies raise challenging questions with respect to implementing processes to fairly and effectively undertake FPIC. The different phases of 'readiness' – capacity building and awareness raising; policy reform; payments for REDD – also raise important questions about the types of activities or interventions for which FPIC is required.

As a pilot initiative, the Viet Nam UN-REDD Programme undertook the implementation of FPIC for the activities that it will be carrying out in one province of Viet Nam, Lam Dong province, that is home to ethnic minorities (both indigenous and non indigenous), as well as the ethnic majority population. In Indonesia, the Programme is taking a broader approach, supporting the definition of FPIC processes 'from the bottom up', engaging national and local indigenous peoples networks to articulate how it should apply to the activities supported by the UN-REDD Programme. Both experiences are ongoing, but have important lessons to inform the development of guidelines for applying FPIC to the UN-REDD Programme more broadly.

Nguyen Thi Thu Huyen, Programme Manager for the UN-REDD Programme in Viet Nam, with representatives of the project team, gave a presentation that explained the process and outcomes of the pilot FPIC process underway in Lam Dong province. The group outlined an eight-step process, from preparation and awareness raising through to recording the decision and undergoing independent verification. She underlined that this was a learning process with the purpose of testing the approach. Looking forward, the team plans to strengthen its communication strategy based on the lessons learned

from the pilot FPIC process to further increase awareness of REDD and climate change, undergo the independent verification and evaluation process, integrate feedback to improve the process and content of informational materials, and develop a roadmap for sustainable FPIC implementation within REDD so as to ensure that it is iterative and efficient. The team also shared a short documentary film of their pilot FPIC efforts which will be available online soon at www.un-redd.org.vn

Following the presentation of the pilot FPIC process in Viet Nam, **Thomas Enters from the Center for People and Forests (RECOFTC)**, shared the preliminary results from their evaluation of the pilot FPIC process in Lam Dong province, which will be publicly available on the UN-REDD Programme Viet Nam website. RECOFTC has been contracted to evaluate the Viet Nam process, in parallel to developing a toolkit for evaluation and verification of FPIC processes more broadly, with the intention of adapting and strengthening the methodology to apply to pilot FPIC processes elsewhere in the region and beyond.

Tomouki Uno working with the UN-REDD Programme in Indonesia, gave an overview of the consultation process for the Programme and the proposed pilot FPIC process that is being planned for Central Sulawesi. Mr. Uno gave an overview of the UN-REDD Programme in Indonesia and outlined the types of activities that are underway at the national, provincial, district and village level as well as the arrangements at each level for stakeholder engagement. The UN-REDD Programme is working with provincial and district level officials to help them plan and implement an FPIC process with the desired goals of raising awareness, ensuring the engagement of local stakeholders, and seeking their consent for participation in Programme's readiness activities taking place in their area, as well as testing the FPIC process itself so that it can be refined and strengthened for FPIC for 'real' REDD in the future.

DAYS 2 AND 3: DEVELOPMENT OF DRAFT GUIDELINES THROUGH GROUP EXERCISES

The following two days were devoted to the elaboration of guidelines for conducting FPIC processes and ensuring adequate recourse in the context of the UN-REDD Programme. Participants were divided into five working groups, each invited to develop guidelines for the hypothetical REDD country "Mongolovia". The working groups were given one component to look at – Free, Prior, Informed, Consent, or Recourse – and concluded by presenting their recommendations to the hypothetical "Mongolovian" government, stating the steps that the government should take to seek the free, prior and informed consent of its indigenous peoples in a respectful way and provide adequate avenues for recourse should their rights be violated. The synthesized draft guidelines are attached to this report.

Issues for Further Elaboration:

A number of issues were raised during discussion around which consensus was not reached. These issues have been flagged for further analysis and elaboration as part of this consultation process and more broadly in the discourse around readiness and REDD+:

- FPIC for 'readiness' vs FPIC for REDD+: FPIC for the activities carried out during this phase of the UN-REDD Programme ('readiness' activities such as capacity development, awareness raising, legislative review and reform) is a learning process for the eventual application of FPIC for REDD+ more broadly, when countries begin to exchange emissions reductions from the forestry/land use for payments.
- Need further elaboration of what types of activities require FPIC and better understanding of how FPIC can apply to national policy reforms such as those required for REDD.
- How to reconcile the UN-REDD Programme's commitment to supporting the implementation of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples with the differing legal and customary rights frameworks in each country (some weak, some strong).
- How to uphold 'prior' at the national scale when the government has already agreed to participate.

- How to articulate the position of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities who do not consent to participating in ‘readiness’ or REDD activities in the context of a nationally implemented and nationally monitored initiative (e.g. can communities ‘opt out’).
- Need to look further at the issue of carbon rights. What kinds of rights do people enjoy over carbon in its various forms – in trees, as traded, etc.? What mechanisms exist that will allow communities to opt in or opt out of exercising these rights?
- Need to evaluate the risks to communities for getting involved in national scale REDD initiatives. What compensation is there for the sacrifices or foregone benefits should REDD not materialize as promised.
- How can we ensure that the right to not participate in readiness or REDD will be upheld?
- How is FPIC for readiness and FPIC for REDD, different from FPIC for extractive industries such as mining? What lessons are there to be learned? (e.g. policy process rather than a one off intervention).
- What triggers FPIC: When is it necessary and when not (people don’t want to decide about everything)?
- Need to align UN-REDD Programme approach to FPIC with other initiatives working on FPIC for REDD (e.g. The Forests Dialogue FPIC Initiative)
- Need to understand how the various multilateral and national recourse processes fit together and complement each other (UN-REDD, Forest Carbon Partnership Fund (FCPF), global, national, district and village)
- How to ensure legitimate representation of communities’ desires when customary leaders and decision making structures aren’t necessarily fair or inclusive.
- Need to keep in mind how the guidelines for FPIC and recourse for the UN-REDD Programme might inform guidelines for REDD+ more broadly.

Next Steps:

The UN-REDD Programme Regional Consultation on FPIC and Recourse Mechanisms is the first of a series of three regional consultation workshops to elaborate guidelines for how countries participating in the UN-REDD Programme should seek free, prior, and informed consent where the rights of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities are impacted. The draft guidelines synthesized from the recommendations are attached to this report for the review of workshop participants and resource people. The draft guidelines will serve as an input to the UN-REDD Programme Latin America Regional Consultation on FPIC and Recourse Mechanisms and will be revised and informed during that workshop. A similar process will take place in advance of the Africa Regional Consultation later this year. The final draft of the guidelines, synthesized from recommendations made by participants in each of the regions, will be distributed widely for a public comment period before it is annexed to the Operational Guidance on the Engagement of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities. In 2011, it is anticipated that regional or national level trainings or workshops will facilitate the implementation of the guidance with government officials and civil society alike.

Annex 1 – Links to Working Group Presentations

[Working Group Recommendations on ‘Free’](#)

[Working Group Recommendations on ‘Consent’](#)

[Working Group Recommendations on ‘Prior’](#)

[Working Group Recommendations on ‘Recourse’](#)

[Working Group Recommendations on ‘Informed’](#)

Annex 2 - Meeting Agenda**Wednesday, 16 June 2010**

08:30: Registration of Participants – Plaza Rooms 2 & 3	
Sign up for optional social activities	
Opening Session	
09:00	Opening Remarks Mme. Pham Minh Thoa, UN-REDD Programme Viet Nam Mr. K'Breu, CEMA, Lam Dong Province
09:20	Welcome Address: Christophe Bahuet, Deputy Country Director, UNDP Viet Nam
9:30	Overview of Purpose, Agenda, Introduction of Participants Charles McNeill, UN-REDD Programme
Key Theme: UN-REDD Programme Operational Guidance on Engagement of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest Dependent Communities: Focus on ensuring free, prior, and informed consent and recourse (including discussion on harmonization with FCPF)	
09:45	Background and Legal Context for Free, Prior, and Informed Consent and the United Nations system Kristen Hite, Center for International Environmental Law
10:00	The UN-REDD Programme Approach to Ensuring Free, Prior, and Informed Consent and Providing Recourse Charles McNeill and Elspeth Halverson, UN-REDD Programme
10:20	Facilitated discussion
10:45	Coffee Break
Key Theme: Operationalizing Free Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC): Identifying key challenges and good practices for implementation	
11:00	Key Issues and Questions to be Resolved in Applying FPIC Marcus Colchester, Forest Peoples Programme
11:20	Indigenous Peoples Perspectives on FPIC Jennifer Corpuz, Tebtebba Foundation
11:40	The Forests Dialogue Initiative on FPIC Minnie Degawan, International Alliance of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples of Tropical Forests (The Forests Dialogue Steering Committee Member)

12:00	Facilitated Discussion
12.30	Lunch Buffet served in Plaza Room 1
Key Theme: The UN-REDD Programme and Indigenous Peoples and Forest Dependent Communities in Asia and the Pacific: Sharing pilot country experiences	
14:00	Presentation of Pilot FPIC Process in Viet Nam (process, lessons learned, next steps) Nguyen Thi Thu Huyen, UN-REDD Programme, Viet Nam
14:30	Presentation of Results of Evaluation of Pilot FPIC Process in Viet Nam Thomas Enters, RECOFTC
14:50	Presentation of Pilot FPIC Process in Indonesia (process, lessons learned, next steps) Tomoyuki Uno, UN-REDD Programme, UNDP Indonesia
15:10	Facilitated discussion
15:40	Coffee Break
Key Theme: Developing guidelines for FPIC processes (including recourse) and the UN-REDD Programme – process and next steps	
16.00	Review agenda and desired outcomes for day 2 and 3 of the workshop. Determine working groups, tasks and process for reporting and consolidating outcomes.
17.30 Closing	
17:30 – 19:00	Reception – Plaza Room Foyer Meet and Greet – Informal drinks and snacks served in the foyer of the meeting room

Thursday, 17 June 2010

Opening Session	
09:00	Opening of Day's Proceedings Review issues and questions identified during day 1, overview of day's agenda, review issues/questions to be addressed by working groups
Key Theme: Working Group Session on the Elements of FPIC and Recourse to Inform Guidelines for the UN-REDD Programme	
Preliminary working group topics:	
1) Free: Who? At what level?	
2) Prior: When?	
3) Informed: What information is needed and in what form?	
4) Consent: Defining process for obtaining consent, what guidelines should apply?	

5) Recourse: What mechanism should be in place if result of FPIC process is not respected? What mandate and scope, composition, procedure, accessibility?	
09:30	Break into Working Groups, Identify Rapporteurs and Facilitators Working Group Session
10:00	Coffee Break
10:30	Working Group Sessions Continue
12.30	Lunch Buffet served in the Panorama Room (19th Floor)
Key Theme: Preliminary report back and exchange of views from working groups	
14:00	Report back from 'Free' working group (presentation, discussion)
14:30	Report back from 'Prior' working group (presentation, discussion)
15:00	Report back from 'Informed' working group (presentation, discussion)
15:30	Coffee Break
16:00	Report back from 'Consent' working group (presentation, discussion)
16:30	Report back from 'Recourse' working group (presentation, discussion)
Key Theme: Next steps for developing guidelines on FPIC and Recourse for the UN-REDD Programme	
17:.00	Summarize main points from working group presentations, issues raised during discussion, review agenda for day , determine tasks and process for developing draft FPIC and Recourse guidelines for the UN-REDD Programme
17.30 Closing	
20:00 Optional Evening Social Activity – Water Puppet Theatre or Traditional Folk Music (Please sign up on Wednesday)	

Friday, 18 June 2010

Opening Session	
09:00	Opening of Day's Proceedings

	Review of working group outcomes and issues raised during day 2, review process for developing draft guidelines for the FPIC and Recourse for the UN-REDD Programme
Key Theme: Inputs to draft guidelines on FPIC and recourse for the UN-REDD Programme	
09:30	Background and definitions Discussion based on outcomes from working group 1 -3 (Free, Prior, and Informed)
10:30	Coffee Break
11:00	Guidelines for FPIC Process Discussion based on outcomes from working group 4 (Consent)
12.30	Lunch Buffet served in the Ming Restaurant
14:00	Guidelines for Providing Adequate Recourse Discussion based on outcomes from working group 5 (Recourse)
15.30	Coffee Break
Key Theme: Next steps for developing guidelines on FPIC and Recourse for the UN-REDD Programme	
16.00	Summarize agreed inputs, flag main issues for further elaboration, agree to process for recording draft guidelines from inputs (UN-REDD will draft report from meeting, participants will be invited to provide written comments)
17.15 Close of Meeting	
20:00 Optional Evening Social Activity – Water Puppet Theatre or Traditional Folk Music (Please sign up on Wednesday)	

Annex 3 – Participant List

1- INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

#	Name	Country	Organization
1	Mr. Mrinal Kanti Tripura	Bangladesh	Maleya Foundation and Indigenous Peoples Development Facilitators Forum (IPDFF)
2	Mr. Raja Devasish Roy	Bangladesh	TAUNGYA, MALEYA POUNDATION
3	Ms. Hon Navoun	Cambodia	Highlanders Association
4	Ms. Chea Sopheap	Cambodia	Indigenous Community Support Organization (ICSO)
5	Ms. Mina Setra	Indonesia	Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nusantara (AMAN)
6	Mr. Khampanh Keovilaysak	Laos	Global Association for People and the Environment (GAPE)
7	Mr. Shadan Mung Dan Zau	Myanmar	Spectrum (Sustainable Development Knowledge Network)
8	Mr. Aung Tsen	Myanmar	Shalom Foundation
9	Mr. Khim Prasad Ghale	Nepal	Federation of Indigenous Nationalities (NEFIN)
10	Mr. Krishna Bahadur Bhattachan	Nepal	Lawyers' Association Human Rights of Indigenous Peoples (LAHURNIP)
11	Mr. Santos Mangili Mero	Philippines	Cordillera People's Alliance (CPA)
12	Ms. Jennifer Corpuz	Philippines	Tebtebba Foundation
13	Ms. Joan Carling	Thailand	Asia Indigenous Peoples Pact (AIPP)
14	Mr. Allan Tippet Bero	Solomon Islands	Tetepare Descendant Association (TDA)
15	D.M.B. (Danigala Maha Bandaralage) Wasantha Kumara	Sri Lanka	Natural resource conservation, livelihood recovery and socio-cultural enhancement of traditional forest dwelling communities of Sri Lanka
16	Mr. Sujeewa Jasinghe (Translator)	Sri Lanka	Centre for Eco-Cultural Studies (CES)
17	Ms. Sudarshani Fernando	Sri Lanka	Centre for Eco-Cultural Studies (CES)
18	T.V. (Tala Varige) Gunabanda	Sri Lanka	Henanigala Vadda Group
19	Mr. Kittisak Rattanakrajangsri	Thailand	Indigenous Peoples' Foundation for Education and Environment (IPF)
20	Mr. Ha Viet Thua	Viet Nam	Center for Sustainable Development in Mountainous areas (CSDM)
21	Ms. Luong Thi Truong	Viet Nam	Center for Sustainable Development in Mountainous areas (CSDM)

22	Vicky Tauli-Corpuz	Philippines	Tebtebba
----	--------------------	-------------	----------

2- UN-REDD RESOURCES PERSONS

#	Name	Country	Organization
23	Charles McNeill	USA	UNDP/UN-REDD Programme
24	Nina Kantcheva	USA	UNDP/UN-REDD Programme
25	Elspeth Halverson	USA	UNDP/UN-REDD Programme
26	Timothy Boyle	Thailand	UNDP/UN-REDD Programme

3- UN-REDD CSO PB OBSERVERS

#	Name	Country	Organization
27	Kenn Mondiai	Papua New Guinea	UN-REDD CSO PB Observer, A/P - Partners with Melanesians Inc.
28	Paula Moreira	Brazil	UN-REDD CSO PB Observer, LAC - Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia (IPAM)
29	Estebancio Castro Diaz	Panama	International Alliance of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples of Tropical Forests

4- UNDP Regional Centre

#	Name	Country	Organization
30	Ms. Chandra Roy	Thailand	UNDP RIPP
31	Ms. Rukka Sombolinggi	Thailand	UNDP RIPP

5- UN-REDD Programme Countries

#	Name	Country	Organization
32	Pham Minh Thoa	Viet Nam	UN-REDD VM
33	Pham Manh Cuong	Viet Nam	UN-REDD VM
34	Nguyen Thi Thu Huyen	Viet Nam	UN-REDD VM
35	Patrick van Laake	Viet Nam	UN-REDD VM
36	Tomoyuki Uno	Indonesia	UN-REDD FP Indonesia
37	Silje Haugland	Indonesia	UNDP Indonesia

6- Resource Organizations

#	Name	Country	Organization
38	Kristen Hite	USA	CIEL
39	Marcus Colchester	UK	Forest Peoples Program (FPP)
40	Minnie Degawan	Philippines	IAITPTF/TFD
41	Ms. Thu Ba Huynh	Indonesia	CIFOR
42	Mrs. Hien Thi Nguyen	Viet Nam	CIEM
43	Mr. Thomas Enters	Thailand	RECOFTC

44	Regan Suzuki	Thailand	RECOFTC
45	Lisa Ogle	Australia	Environmental Legal Consultant
46	Josh Kempinski	Viet Nam	Fauna and Flora International (FFI)