Basic forest statistics – Accuracy, Precision and Bias

AWF

AWF

Prof. Dr. Christoph Kleinn, Dr. Lutz Fehrmann Regional Course on REDD+, MRV and Monitoring 11-15 July 2011 Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania

Main objective of this session

- 1. Characterize some elements of statistical sampling and monitoring from a methodological perspective.
- 2. Get more familiar with the terminology and concepts in statistics regarding "uncertainty".
- 3. Make clear that the basics are not overly complicated nor "beyond reach".
- 4. Discuss options how "uncertainty" can be influenced.











Rationale of statistical sampling

AWF

AWF

Situation:

- We are interested in knowing quantitative details of the forest resource.
- Because of the complexity and extent of the resource, we can not measure /observe everything.
- We need to devise an assessment system which efficiently combines data sources and assessment techniques such that the target data can be generated.

Data and methods

Major data sources used:

- Field data
- Remote sensing
- Existing data and maps from prior studies
- Models

Major methods applied:

- Statistical sampling
- Remote sensing image analysis
- Modelling







Central questions

• As we observe a subset of the population only, there are some important questions that should be addressed:

AWF

AWF

- Sample size (How many samples should be taken)?
- The selection of samples (How to select)?
- How to take what observations?
- How to calculate the estimations?

Central questions

- · Sampling studies are designed such that they
 - a. achieve best precision (budget is fixed), or
 - b. cause minimum cost (precision is predefined).
- In practice: What is more common?



AWF

AWF

- Statistical soundness.
- Economical and logistical feasibility.
- Sustainability (should be useful for future assessments).
- Overall credibility.

Keep it simple! (also holds for this presentation)

Statistical sampling

- Statistical sampling provides methodologically sound (and defendable!) results.
- Randomization is the only generally accepted selection "philosophy" for the class of sampling techniques that we deal with here (but: systematic selection is also applicable).
 - Concepts like "fairness", objectivity", "representativeness" should not be used as a general basis for sample selection.
 - Guided subjective or arbitrary selection is not statistical sampling! We may not apply statistical analysis techniques to such samples!

"Statistical estimations"

- · We are interested in two types of estimations:
 - estimations of the variable(s) of interest (= point estimates),
 - estimations of the precision of the point estimates (interval estimates),
- As we observed only a small part of the population, the estimate is related to uncertainty that is expressed in form of a "confidence interval".



• A confidence interval is a **probability statement** that gives insight into the distribution of all possible outcomes of a sampling study.

AWF

AWF

Confidence interval

- defines an upper and lower limit within which the true (population) value is expected to come to lie with a defined probability.
 - Typically with a probability of 95%, means that 5% " α -error" is accepted.
- Every (!) result from a sampling study is an estimate.
 - Also the "precision of estimation" that is the "standard error" is estimated based on the sample at hand!





- for the investor to be on the safer side
- for the country to have an incentive to produce precise estimates.

AWF

AWF

- Applied to estimation: the lower bound of the confidence interval is being reported and evaluated.
- Therefore, an appropriate error probability needs to be defined. What are the criteria ?
- ... in forest inventory reporting, we are used to state both point and interval estimate. Then, the reader can draw his/her won conclusions ...









Precision

 Obviously precision is a matter of the width of the distribution of all estimates that can be drawn from the population,

AWF

AWF

• Standard error: For a given population and a given sampling design, the SE gives the standard deviation ("width of the distribution") of all possible sample outcomes.

est. Precision (SE) = $\frac{\text{Variability of obs. (error variance)}}{\text{Number of obs. (sample size)}}$

Accuracy

- A measure of accuracy is the Bias.
- An estimator is unbiased, if it produces on the average estimations that equal the true population parameter.
- It should be noted that "Accuracy" in terms of unbiasedness is a property of an "estimator" (the formula or statistical framework that was used to calculate the estimate) rather than an estimate!

More on bias...

- A bias can be introduced by:
 - systematically biased selection (Selection bias),
 - systematically wrong calculations (Estimator bias),
 - systematically wrong observations (Observer bias).
- Obvious: the bias is a systematic error.
- We cannot quantify the bias without information about the true population parameter (remember the dartboard!).

More on bias...

 If observations (e.g. carbon stock per plot) are result of a model, there might be a model bias!

AWF

- Important: a bias will not disappear with increasing sample size!
- If precision is high, a relatively small bias may be acceptable (e.g. for cost reasons) -- However, where possible we prefer unbiased estimators!

What is a "good precision"?

- · Very good question
 - to the decision makers,
 - to the Investors
- Examples of forest area and biomass precisions of estimation (simple standard error):
 - Germany NFI:area1%, biomass0.8%Costa Rica NFMA:area7%, biomass12%Burkina Faso (res.):area20%, biomass:20%
- Precision of estimation in forest inventory has much to do with a well designed statistical approach ...
 ... but much more with the resources allocated.





Inventory design

AWF

- Defines how to select samples (and how many) in the area of interest (population).
 - Random, systematic, stratified, ...







Estimation design

• **Defines how to produce estimates** (formulae = estimators).

AWF

AWF

 Long tradition in forestry of adhering to rigorous statistical sampling; much research to develop unbiased estimators.

Sample size

- Is the number of **independently selected** observations!
- If the width of a confidence interval is predefined, the required sample size that is necessary to meet this precision can be estimated.

- Who defines the confidence interval?

Sample size vs. Sampling intensity

- Sampling intensity refers to the proportion of the population (in forest inventories=Area) that is observed.
 - Usually sampling intensity is very small in large area inventories (German NFI: 0.001%)!
 - On the other hand, sample size is very high (in the order of magnitude of *n*=20.000 plots)
 - Precision of estimation for volume and forest area is about 0.8 - 1% (for the whole country)



Assessing variability

• Every natural population is characterized by a certain variability of the variable(s) of interest (imagine e.g. the variability of carbon stocks in a certain forest type).

AWF

- Only a part of this given variability is "captured" or observed inside the field plots,
- An intuitive question is therefore: What is the consequence of capturing more or less variability inside the plots?





Example

Simple conlusions:

 The variance (and therefore also the standard error) between observations is decreasing if more variability is captured within the observations (means: precision is increasing),

AWF

AWF

How can we influence precision?

• Considering the formula of the standard error it is obvious that

- Precision is increasing (underproportional) with larger sample size n, and
- Precision is **increasing** (strict proportional) **with decreasing** variance of the target variable.
- How can we influence the variance of the target variable in the population?

Stratification

• Stratification is a means to reduce the variance of the total population by "splitting" it in more homogeneous sub-populations (strata) that are treated independently.







Stratification

• RS data are a useful ancillary information in context of stratification, but

AW

- They are affected by misclassification errors!
- Example: A sample point was classified as *"Forest type B*" based on RS interpretation. In the field the crew classified it as *"Forest Type C*". What might be the reason?
 - Outdated RS data?
 - Different spatial scale?
 - Low discriminatory power of RS data for these types?

Stratification

- In each stratum a different inventory- and/or plot design can be applied,
 - Design elements, like a systematic sampling grid, can be (in principle) optimized independently towards the given conditions in each stratum
- But: In case of REDD the interest is mainly on changes!
 - Different sampling grids might cause problems if multiple inventory cycles are planned!

Stratification

- Don't mix up the statistical concept of stratified sampling (independent sampling studies in different strata) with "reporting estimates for different classes"!
 - As long as the same design (plot-, sampling design) is applied (not indipendently) a "post" stratification can be applied (means: simply querying the data for different classes).

AWF

AWF

Plot (observation) design

- There is **No** optimal design for all conditions (discussions on optimal plot shape and size on international level is sensless)!!!
- There are guiding principles that might help to find an efficient (but never **one** optimal) solution:
 - Practical considerations (implementation in the field, "not more than 1 day/plot", …
 - Statistical considerations: plots should encompass as much variability of the target variable as possible, ...

Plot (observation) design

- Indicators for plot optimazation might be obtained from a pilot phase:
 - Number of trees/(cluster) plot in different strata (mean tree density/stratum)
 - Workload per (cluster) plot (feasability)
 - Co-registration of RS data (e.g. spatial arrangement of cluster subplots)
 - Intra- and inter cluster correlation
 - Resulting SE of different simulated design alternatives (varying plot size or number of subplots)

Plot (observation) design

 The optimazation of a plot design is a research question that can be integrated in the pilot phase of an inventory

AWF

AWF

- Example: starting with rel. Large circular (sub)plots in the first phase (assessing e.g. 30 plots per stratum) and recording the distance of each tree to the plot center allows simulating any smaller plot size
- Different numbers of subplots per cluster (and cluster configurations) can easaly be simulated!

Plot (observation) design

- Example: Country X adopted a cluster plot design that was developed for the assessment of tree resources outside forest (for a minimum diameter of 30 cm) for their REDD pilot inventory,
 - As the diameter threshold for above ground carbon stock accounting is 10 cm (IPCC), field crews have now to measure 400 trees per plot in mean!

Does this make sense?

- Do you think they can obtain new, important and additional information by measuring the 399th tree/plot?





Dr. Lutz Fehrmann & Prof. Dr. Christoph Kleinn, Georg-August-University Göttingen













Dr. Lutz Fehrmann & Prof. Dr. Christoph Kleinn, Georg-August-University Göttingen

Cluster plots

- Statistical efficiency (compared to compact plots) is dependent on the ammount of "additional information" or variance that is captured per sample point by spreading the subplots!
 - This again is dependent on the correlation between observations on distinct subplots

AWF



Cluster plots

- Cluster plots are a means to increase the variability
 of a target variable inside the plot
- It is a straight forward approach to collect as much information as possible at each sampling location
- Especially efficient if transport costs are high in relation to data assessment on the site.
- Due to the spatial extend the probability of intersecting with stratum boundaries is higher than with compact plots.
 - This is not a problem if only the part of a cluster inside the regarded stratum is considered (clusters of unequal size)









Dr. Lutz Fehrmann & Prof. Dr. Christoph Kleinn, Georg-August-University Göttingen